

## Montana Housing Condition Study Appendix II - Municipality Tables

### Contents

#### Residential Dwellings

**Table 1:** Residential Dwellings by Construction Era – by Municipality

#### Trends in the Residential Housing Stock

**Table 2:** Number of Bedrooms by Construction Era – by Municipality

**Table 3:** Number of Full Bathrooms by Construction Era – by Municipality

**Table 4:** Total Square Feet by Construction Era – by Municipality

#### Condition of the Residential Housing Stock<sup>i</sup>

**Table 5:** Physical Condition by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 6:** Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 7:** Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era – by Municipality

**Table 8:** Effective Age by Quality and Workmanship – by Municipality

**Table 9:** Effective Age by Foundation Type – by Municipality

**Table 10:** Effective Age by Structure Type – by Municipality

#### Style and Characteristics of the Residential Housing Stock

**Table 11:** Building Style by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 12:** Type of Foundation by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 13:** Presence of Basement by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 14:** Wall Construction by Structure Type – by Municipality

**Table 15:** Exterior Wall Finish by Construction Type – by Municipality

**Table 16:** Roof Material by Structure Type – by Municipality

For definitions and further discussion of the results of the study, see the *2005 Housing Condition Study – February 2005*:

<http://housing.mt.gov/Includes/CP/PDF/05HsngCondStdy.pdf>

Source: Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal System (CAMAS) database maintained by the Montana Department of Revenue (MDOR).

---

<sup>i</sup> The condition ratings are:

1. Unsound – indicates that the dwelling is definitely structurally unsound and practically unfit for use.
2. Very poor – indicates that the dwelling is definitely structurally unsound and practically unfit for use. Repair and overhaul is needed on painted surfaces, roofing, plumbing and

- 
- heating. There is excessive deferred maintenance and abuse. Property is approaching abandonment or major reconstruction.
3. Poor – indicates that definite deterioration is obvious. Property is undesirable and barely usable.
  4. Fair – indicates marked deterioration but is still quite usable. Property is rather unattractive and undesirable. Much repair is needed and many items need refinishing or overhauling. Deferred maintenance is obvious.
  5. Average – indicates normal wear and tear relative to its age. Property has average attractiveness and is desirable. There is some evidence of deferred maintenance needed such as minor repairs and refinishing. All major components are still functional.
  6. Good – indicates that minor deterioration is visible. Property is slightly more attractive and desirable. No obvious maintenance is required, but neither is everything new. Appearance is above the standard relative to the property's age.
  7. Very good – indicates slight evidence of deterioration. All items are well maintained and have been overhauled and repaired as they showed signs of wear. There is little deterioration or obsolescence and a high standard of upkeep relative to its age.
  8. Excellent – indicates perfect condition. Property is very attractive and highly desirable. All items that can be normally repaired or refinished have been recently corrected, such as new roofing, paint, furnace overhaul and state-of-the-art components. There are no functional inadequacies and all components are new or in like-new condition. Most new homes would receive a condition rating of excellent (unless constructed with substandard materials and workmanship).

List of Municipalities - Sorted Alphabetically

Municipality	County	Municipality	County	Municipality	County
<b>Alberton</b>	Mineral	<b>Geraldine</b>	Chouteau	<b>Red Lodge</b>	Carbon
<b>Anaconda</b>	Deer Lodge	<b>Glasgow</b>	Valley	<b>Richey</b>	Dawson
<b>Bainville</b>	Roosevelt	<b>Glendive</b>	Dawson	<b>Ronan</b>	Lake
<b>Baker</b>	Fallon	<b>Grass Range</b>	Fergus	<b>Roundup</b>	Musselshell
<b>Bearcreek</b>	Carbon	<b>Great Falls</b>	Cascade	<b>Ryegate</b>	Golden Valley
<b>Belgrade</b>	Gallatin	<b>Hamilton</b>	Ravalli	<b>Saco</b>	Phillips
<b>Belt</b>	Cascade	<b>Hardin</b>	Big Horn	<b>Scobey</b>	Daniels
<b>Big Sandy</b>	Chouteau	<b>Harlem</b>	Blaine	<b>Shelby</b>	Toole
<b>Big Timber</b>	Sweet Grass	<b>Harlowton</b>	Wheatland	<b>Sheridan</b>	Madison
<b>Billings</b>	Yellowstone	<b>Havre</b>	Hill	<b>Sidney</b>	Richland
<b>Boulder</b>	Jefferson	<b>Helena</b>	Lewis & Clark	<b>St. Ignatius</b>	Lake
<b>Bozeman</b>	Gallatin	<b>Hingham</b>	Hill	<b>Stanford</b>	Judith Basin
<b>Bridger</b>	Carbon	<b>Hobson</b>	Judith Basin	<b>Stevensville</b>	Ravalli
<b>Broadus</b>	Powder River	<b>Hot Springs</b>	Sanders	<b>Sunburst</b>	Toole
<b>Broadview</b>	Yellowstone	<b>Hysham</b>	Treasure	<b>Superior</b>	Mineral
<b>Brockton</b>	Roosevelt	<b>Ismay</b>	Custer	<b>Terry</b>	Prairie
<b>Browning</b>	Glacier	<b>Joliet</b>	Carbon	<b>Thompson Falls</b>	Sanders
<b>Cascade</b>	Cascade	<b>Jordan</b>	Garfield	<b>Three Forks</b>	Gallatin
<b>Chester</b>	Liberty	<b>Judith Gap</b>	Wheatland	<b>Townsend</b>	Broadwater
<b>Chinook</b>	Blaine	<b>Kalispell</b>	Flathead	<b>Troy</b>	Lincoln
<b>Choteau</b>	Teton	<b>Kevin</b>	Toole	<b>Twin Bridges</b>	Madison
<b>Circle</b>	McCone	<b>Laurel</b>	Yellowstone	<b>Valier</b>	Pondera
<b>Clyde Park</b>	Park	<b>Lavina</b>	Golden Valley	<b>Virginia City</b>	Madison
<b>Colstrip</b>	Rosebud	<b>Lewistown</b>	Fergus	<b>Walkerville</b>	Silver Bow
<b>Columbia Falls</b>	Flathead	<b>Libby</b>	Lincoln	<b>West Yellowstone</b>	Gallatin
<b>Columbus</b>	Stillwater	<b>Lima</b>	Beaverhead	<b>Westby</b>	Sheridan
<b>Conrad</b>	Pondera	<b>Livingston</b>	Park	<b>White Sulphur Springs</b>	Meagher
<b>Culbertson</b>	Roosevelt	<b>Lodge Grass</b>	Big Horn	<b>Whitefish</b>	Flathead
<b>Cut Bank</b>	Glacier	<b>Malta</b>	Phillips	<b>Whitehall</b>	Jefferson
<b>Darby</b>	Ravalli	<b>Manhattan</b>	Gallatin	<b>Wibaux</b>	Wibaux
<b>Deer Lodge</b>	Powell	<b>Medicine Lake</b>	Sheridan	<b>Winifred</b>	Fergus
<b>Denton</b>	Fergus	<b>Melstone</b>	Musselshell	<b>Winnett</b>	Petroleum
<b>Dillon</b>	Beaverhead	<b>Miles City</b>	Custer	<b>Wolf Point</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Dodson</b>	Phillips	<b>Missoula</b>	Missoula		
<b>Drummond</b>	Granite	<b>Moore</b>	Fergus		
<b>Dutton</b>	Teton	<b>Nashua</b>	Valley		
<b>East Helena</b>	Lewis & Clark	<b>Neihart</b>	Cascade		
<b>Ekalaka</b>	Carter	<b>Opheim</b>	Valley		
<b>Ennis</b>	Madison	<b>Outlook</b>	Sheridan		
<b>Eureka</b>	Lincoln	<b>Phillipsburg</b>	Granite		
<b>Fairfield</b>	Teton	<b>Plains</b>	Sanders		
<b>Fairview</b>	Richland	<b>Plentywood</b>	Sheridan		
<b>Flaxville</b>	Daniels	<b>Plevna</b>	Fallon		
<b>Forsyth</b>	Rosebud	<b>Polson</b>	Lake		
<b>Fort Benton</b>	Chouteau	<b>Poplar</b>	Roosevelt		
<b>Fort Peck</b>	Valley				
<b>Froid</b>	Roosevelt				
<b>Fromberg</b>	Carbon				

List of Municipalities - Sorted by County

Municipality	County	Municipality	County	Municipality	County
<b>Dillon</b>	Beaverhead	<b>Lavina</b>	Golden Valley	<b>Bainville</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Lima</b>	Beaverhead	<b>Ryegate</b>	Golden Valley	<b>Brockton</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Hardin</b>	Big Horn	<b>Drummond</b>	Granite	<b>Culbertson</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Lodge Grass</b>	Big Horn	<b>Phillipsburg</b>	Granite	<b>Froid</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Chinook</b>	Blaine	<b>Havre</b>	Hill	<b>Poplar</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Harlem</b>	Blaine	<b>Hingham</b>	Hill	<b>Wolf Point</b>	Roosevelt
<b>Townsend</b>	Broadwater	<b>Boulder</b>	Jefferson	<b>Colstrip</b>	Rosebud
<b>Bearcreek</b>	Carbon	<b>Whitehall</b>	Jefferson	<b>Forsyth</b>	Rosebud
<b>Bridger</b>	Carbon	<b>Hobson</b>	Judith Basin	<b>Hot Springs</b>	Sanders
<b>Fromberg</b>	Carbon	<b>Stanford</b>	Judith Basin	<b>Plains</b>	Sanders
<b>Joliet</b>	Carbon	<b>Polson</b>	Lake	<b>Thompson Falls</b>	Sanders
<b>Red Lodge</b>	Carbon	<b>Ronan</b>	Lake	<b>Medicine Lake</b>	Sheridan
<b>Ekalaka</b>	Carter	<b>St. Ignatius</b>	Lake	<b>Outlook</b>	Sheridan
<b>Belt</b>	Cascade	<b>East Helena</b>	Lewis & Clark	<b>Plentywood</b>	Sheridan
<b>Cascade</b>	Cascade	<b>Helena</b>	Lewis & Clark	<b>Westby</b>	Sheridan
<b>Great Falls</b>	Cascade	<b>Chester</b>	Liberty	<b>Walkerville</b>	Silver Bow
<b>Neihart</b>	Cascade	<b>Eureka</b>	Lincoln	<b>Columbus</b>	Stillwater
<b>Big Sandy</b>	Chouteau	<b>Libby</b>	Lincoln	<b>Big Timber</b>	Sweet Grass
<b>Fort Benton</b>	Chouteau	<b>Troy</b>	Lincoln	<b>Choteau</b>	Teton
<b>Geraldine</b>	Chouteau	<b>Ennis</b>	Madison	<b>Dutton</b>	Teton
<b>Ismay</b>	Custer	<b>Sheridan</b>	Madison	<b>Fairfield</b>	Teton
<b>Miles City</b>	Custer	<b>Twin Bridges</b>	Madison	<b>Kevin</b>	Toole
<b>Flaxville</b>	Daniels	<b>Virginia City</b>	Madison	<b>Shelby</b>	Toole
<b>Scobey</b>	Daniels	<b>Circle</b>	McCone	<b>Sunburst</b>	Toole
<b>Glendive</b>	Dawson	<b>White Sulphur Springs</b>	Meagher	<b>Hysham</b>	Treasure
<b>Richey</b>	Dawson	<b>Alberton</b>	Mineral	<b>Fort Peck</b>	Valley
<b>Anaconda</b>	Deer Lodge	<b>Superior</b>	Mineral	<b>Glasgow</b>	Valley
<b>Baker</b>	Fallon	<b>Missoula</b>	Missoula	<b>Nashua</b>	Valley
<b>Plevna</b>	Fallon	<b>Melstone</b>	Musselshell	<b>Opheim</b>	Valley
<b>Denton</b>	Fergus	<b>Roundup</b>	Musselshell	<b>Harlowton</b>	Wheatland
<b>Grass Range</b>	Fergus	<b>Clyde Park</b>	Park	<b>Judith Gap</b>	Wheatland
<b>Lewistown</b>	Fergus	<b>Livingston</b>	Park	<b>Wibaux</b>	Wibaux
<b>Moore</b>	Fergus	<b>Winnett</b>	Petroleum	<b>Billings</b>	Yellowstone
<b>Winifred</b>	Fergus	<b>Dodson</b>	Phillips	<b>Broadview</b>	Yellowstone
<b>Columbia Falls</b>	Flathead	<b>Malta</b>	Phillips	<b>Laurel</b>	Yellowstone
<b>Kalispell</b>	Flathead	<b>Saco</b>	Phillips		
<b>Whitefish</b>	Flathead	<b>Conrad</b>	Pondera		
<b>Belgrade</b>	Gallatin	<b>Valier</b>	Pondera		
<b>Bozeman</b>	Gallatin	<b>Broadus</b>	Powder River		
<b>Manhattan</b>	Gallatin	<b>Deer Lodge</b>	Powell		
<b>Three Forks</b>	Gallatin	<b>Terry</b>	Prairie		
<b>West Yellowstone</b>	Gallatin	<b>Darby</b>	Ravalli		
<b>Jordan</b>	Garfield	<b>Hamilton</b>	Ravalli		
<b>Browning</b>	Glacier	<b>Stevensville</b>	Ravalli		
<b>Cut Bank</b>	Glacier	<b>Fairview</b>	Richland		
		<b>Sidney</b>	Richland		

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Alberton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	2	3
	Fair	0	10	10
	Average	42	85	127
	Good	0	7	7
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>148</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Anaconda**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	21	<b>21</b>
	Very Poor	0	51	<b>51</b>
	Poor	0	235	<b>235</b>
	Fair	9	1,099	<b>1,108</b>
	Average	4	747	<b>751</b>
	Good	3	456	<b>459</b>
	Very Good	0	32	<b>32</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>2,641</b>	<b>2,657</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bainville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	8	8
	Very Poor	1	25	26
	Poor	3	16	19
	Fair	5	12	17
	Average	10	1	11
	Good	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>84</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Baker**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	9	24	<b>33</b>
	Very Poor	32	72	<b>104</b>
	Poor	17	122	<b>139</b>
	Fair	24	134	<b>158</b>
	Average	32	260	<b>292</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>114</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>726</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bearcreek**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	7	7
	Very Poor	1	2	3
	Poor	4	8	12
	Fair	6	6	12
	Average	2	12	14
	Good	2	4	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>54</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Belgrade**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	4	2	6
	Poor	0	5	24	29
	Fair	46	87	22	155
	Average	148	184	1,222	1,554
	Good	0	3	14	17
	Very Good	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>194</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>1,289</b>	<b>1,766</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Belt**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Very Poor	0	10	10
	Poor	5	20	25
	Fair	35	74	109
	Average	12	67	79
	Good	4	25	29
	Very Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>255</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Big Sandy**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	13	13
	Very Poor	0	21	21
	Poor	7	42	49
	Fair	11	109	120
	Average	12	70	82
	Good	13	15	28
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>313</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Big Timber**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	1	1
	Very Poor	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	22	13	35
	Fair	0	37	85	122
	Average	0	21	312	333
	Good	184	13	195	392
	Very Good	34	0	118	152
	Excellent	32	0	13	45
<b>Total</b>		<b>250</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>1,083</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Billings**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	15	29	<b>46</b>
	Very Poor	2	9	59	<b>70</b>
	Poor	0	1,034	372	<b>1,406</b>
	Fair	121	671	2,183	<b>2,975</b>
	Average	438	462	7,437	<b>8,337</b>
	Good	1,091	203	12,105	<b>13,399</b>
	Very Good	611	277	1,984	<b>2,872</b>
	Excellent	134	3	415	<b>552</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,399</b>	<b>2,674</b>	<b>24,584</b>	<b>29,657</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Boulder**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	7	6	13
	Very Poor	3	5	8
	Poor	1	7	8
	Fair	131	61	192
	Average	65	188	253
	Good	2	15	17
<b>Total</b>		<b>209</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>491</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Bozeman**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	2	3
	Very Poor	0	1	7	8
	Poor	0	2	12	14
	Fair	154	355	175	684
	Average	1,258	196	1,981	3,435
	Good	489	1	2,387	2,877
	Very Good	18	1	786	805
	Excellent	0	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,919</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>5,353</b>	<b>7,829</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bridger**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	1
	Very Poor	4	9	13
	Poor	23	55	78
	Fair	9	123	132
	Average	16	36	52
	Very Good	0	3	3
	Good	6	5	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>58</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>290</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Broadus**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	17	9	26
	Very Poor	7	12	19
	Poor	8	23	31
	Fair	7	46	53
	Average	31	93	124
<b>Total</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>253</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Broadview**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	1
	Very Poor	0	1	1
	Poor	7	0	7
	Fair	5	25	30
	Average	4	10	14
	Good	4	3	7
	Very Good	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>63</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Brockton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	6
	Very Poor	0	13	13
	Poor	1	5	6
	Fair	2	1	3
	Average	3	1	4
	Good	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>36</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Browning**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	11	11
	Very Poor	0	29	29
	Poor	2	43	45
	Fair	12	51	63
	Average	17	53	70
	Good	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>220</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Cascade**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Very Poor	1	9	10
	Poor	8	17	25
	Fair	27	53	80
	Average	9	107	116
	Good	5	19	24
	Very Good	0	2	2
	Excellent	1	3	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>263</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Chester**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	9	10
	Very Poor	0	6	6
	Poor	7	9	16
	Fair	20	63	83
	Average	45	180	225
	Good	5	24	29
<b>Total</b>		<b>78</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>369</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Chinook**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	6	7
	Very Poor	1	8	9
	Poor	1	29	30
	Fair	5	113	118
	Average	29	292	321
	Good	6	78	84
	Very Good	0	5	5
	Excellent	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>575</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Choteau**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	6
	Very Poor	0	25	25
	Poor	4	61	65
	Fair	50	163	213
	Average	31	280	311
	Good	3	73	76
	Very Good	1	18	19
<b>Total</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>715</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Circle**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	10	10
	Very Poor	1	25	26
	Poor	4	37	41
	Fair	4	93	97
	Average	16	118	134
	Good	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>28</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>311</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Clyde Park**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Very Poor	1	5	6
	Poor	7	10	17
	Fair	17	40	57
	Average	34	20	54
	Good	4	6	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>63</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>146</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Colstrip**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	0	1
	Very Poor	16	1	17
	Poor	109	6	115
	Fair	103	54	157
	Average	61	158	219
	Good	1	161	162
	Very Good	0	11	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>291</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>682</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Columbia Falls**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Poor	36	6	<b>42</b>
	Fair	30	158	<b>188</b>
	Average	14	1,806	<b>1,820</b>
	Good	76	134	<b>210</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>156</b>	<b>2,104</b>	<b>2,260</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Columbus**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	2	2
	Poor	2	11	13
	Fair	18	124	142
	Average	7	323	330
	Good	5	80	85
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>576</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Conrad**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	8	9
	Very Poor	1	29	30
	Poor	20	69	89
	Fair	70	196	266
	Average	35	381	416
	Good	7	159	166
	Very Good	0	10	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>134</b>	<b>852</b>	<b>986</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Culbertson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	7	8
	Very Poor	3	14	17
	Poor	7	33	40
	Fair	15	65	80
	Average	10	117	127
	Good	6	13	19
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>292</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Cut Bank**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	13	13
	Very Poor	1	26	27
	Poor	7	69	76
	Fair	41	252	293
	Average	41	491	532
	Good	3	228	231
	Very Good	0	5	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>1,177</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Darby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	0	2	2
	Poor	2	1	3
	Fair	13	28	41
	Average	11	104	115
	Good	11	18	29
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>190</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Deer Lodge**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	3	4
	Very Poor	0	11	11
	Poor	6	57	63
	Fair	82	251	333
	Average	102	572	674
	Good	41	177	218
	Very Good	8	11	19
	Excellent	6	0	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>246</b>	<b>1,082</b>	<b>1,328</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Denton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	3	3
	Poor	2	30	32
	Fair	4	60	64
	Average	8	25	33
	Good	8	4	12
	Very Good	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>150</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dillon**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	8	9
	Very Poor	2	9	11
	Poor	15	41	56
	Fair	134	173	307
	Average	23	628	651
	Good	7	196	203
	Very Good	2	50	52
	Excellent	5	18	23
<b>Total</b>		<b>189</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>1,312</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dodson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	6
	Very Poor	0	4	4
	Poor	3	5	8
	Fair	7	23	30
	Average	5	9	14
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>62</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Drummond**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	0	1	1
	Poor	1	2	3
	Fair	17	17	34
	Average	8	42	50
	Good	3	30	33
	Very Good	0	6	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>127</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dutton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	6
	Very Poor	2	14	16
	Poor	1	15	16
	Fair	9	42	51
	Average	16	62	78
	Good	4	3	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>174</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = East Helena**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Poor	0	5	5
	Fair	0	24	24
	Average	23	541	564
	Good	1	21	22
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>618</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ekalaka**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	19	14	<b>33</b>
	Very Poor	21	40	<b>61</b>
	Poor	7	70	<b>77</b>
	Fair	12	56	<b>68</b>
	Average	7	12	<b>19</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>258</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ennis**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	3
	Poor	3	2	5
	Fair	19	17	36
	Average	63	87	150
	Good	23	139	162
	Very Good	0	34	34
	Excellent	0	4	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>108</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>394</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Eureka**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	12	12
	Poor	0	12	12
	Fair	118	38	156
	Average	24	614	638
	Good	22	0	22
<b>Total</b>		<b>164</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>844</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fairfield**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Very Poor	0	6	6
	Poor	1	12	13
	Fair	15	36	51
	Average	29	131	160
	Good	6	23	29
	Very Good	2	11	13
<b>Total</b>		<b>53</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>274</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fairview**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	11	12
	Very Poor	1	19	20
	Poor	6	31	37
	Fair	23	101	124
	Average	25	94	119
	Good	1	5	6
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>319</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Flaxville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	5	5
	Very Poor	0	12	12
	Poor	0	15	15
	Fair	0	15	15
	Average	0	2	2
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Forsyth**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	9	10
	Very Poor	0	9	57	66
	Poor	0	80	220	300
	Fair	6	29	189	224
	Average	0	23	89	112
	Good	0	0	52	52
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>764</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fort Benton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	3	10	13
	Very Poor	2	14	16
	Poor	8	46	54
	Fair	27	92	119
	Average	41	185	226
	Good	37	141	178
	Very Good	2	22	24
<b>Total</b>		<b>120</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>630</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fort Peck**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	1
	Poor	2	0	2
	Fair	1	9	10
	Average	0	70	70
	Good	1	29	30
	Very Good	0	1	1
	Excellent	1	3	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>118</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Froid**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	13	14
	Very Poor	2	35	37
	Poor	2	33	35
	Fair	5	16	21
	Average	7	2	9
	Good	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>119</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fromberg**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	3	4
	Very Poor	3	7	10
	Poor	34	49	83
	Fair	21	59	80
	Average	10	13	23
	Good	6	3	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>209</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Geraldine**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	10	10
	Very Poor	0	12	12
	Poor	3	22	25
	Fair	12	36	48
	Average	13	16	29
	Good	8	7	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>139</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Glasgow**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	30	<b>33</b>
	Very Poor	0	2	33	<b>35</b>
	Poor	0	4	98	<b>102</b>
	Fair	0	29	369	<b>398</b>
	Average	0	10	507	<b>517</b>
	Good	7	1	114	<b>122</b>
	Very Good	0	0	15	<b>15</b>
	Excellent	0	0	4	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1,170</b>	<b>1,226</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Glendive**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	127	131
	Very Poor	0	21	295	316
	Poor	0	6	224	230
	Fair	0	17	322	339
	Average	0	38	433	471
	Good	0	10	251	261
	Very Good	6	1	31	38
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>1,684</b>	<b>1,787</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Grass Range**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	1	5	6
	Poor	4	12	16
	Fair	12	18	30
	Average	14	5	19
	Good	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>77</b>



**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Great Falls**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	37	<b>43</b>
	Very Poor	0	13	188	<b>201</b>
	Poor	0	61	724	<b>785</b>
	Fair	26	511	2,042	<b>2,579</b>
	Average	95	480	4,597	<b>5,172</b>
	Good	229	140	6,435	<b>6,804</b>
	Very Good	140	12	806	<b>958</b>
	Excellent	279	0	626	<b>905</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>769</b>	<b>1,223</b>	<b>15,455</b>	<b>17,447</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Hamilton**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	3	3
	Very Poor	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	2	9	11
	Fair	5	3	36	44
	Average	108	6	481	595
	Good	26	3	435	464
	Very Good	0	0	25	25
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>139</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>1,144</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hardin**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	4	3	7
	Very Poor	0	5	5
	Poor	19	16	35
	Fair	124	232	356
	Average	84	570	654
	Good	5	19	24
<b>Total</b>		<b>236</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>1,081</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Harlem**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	6	7
	Very Poor	1	13	14
	Poor	1	31	32
	Fair	23	97	120
	Average	27	103	130
	Good	7	19	26
	Very Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>61</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>330</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Harlowton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	10	12
	Very Poor	2	21	23
	Poor	11	86	97
	Fair	23	201	224
	Average	9	133	142
	Good	4	9	13
	Very Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>52</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>512</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Havre**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	11	13
	Very Poor	0	12	12
	Poor	6	111	117
	Fair	49	529	578
	Average	262	1,531	1,793
	Excellent	1	0	1
	Good	54	442	496
	Very Good	2	22	24
<b>Total</b>		<b>376</b>	<b>2,658</b>	<b>3,034</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Helena**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	9	7	16
	Poor	0	8	13	21
	Fair	0	14	69	83
	Average	111	569	1,870	2,550
	Good	192	1	3,204	3,397
	Very Good	145	0	1,307	1,452
	Excellent	48	0	50	98
<b>Total</b>		<b>496</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>6,524</b>	<b>7,621</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hingham**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	7	7
	Very Poor	1	19	20
	Poor	0	43	43
	Fair	11	43	54
	Average	11	49	60
	Good	4	2	6
	Very Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>28</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>191</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hobson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	2	4
	Very Poor	0	3	3
	Poor	0	11	11
	Fair	2	28	30
	Average	9	27	36
	Good	21	5	26
	Very Good	1	2	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>113</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hot Springs**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	6	6
	Very Poor	6	6	12
	Poor	30	20	50
	Fair	14	100	114
	Average	16	350	366
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>548</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hysham**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	6	7
	Very Poor	4	11	15
	Poor	12	22	34
	Fair	7	58	65
	Average	10	20	30
	Good	9	1	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>161</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ismay**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	5	7
	Very Poor	0	3	3
	Poor	0	2	2
	Fair	1	0	1
	Average	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Joliet**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	3
	Very Poor	7	2	9
	Poor	13	32	45
	Fair	10	86	96
	Average	8	50	58
	Good	3	18	21
	Very Good	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>234</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Jordan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	11	13
	Very Poor	3	7	10
	Poor	4	49	53
	Fair	42	64	106
	Average	15	6	21
	Good	9	2	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>214</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Judith Gap**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	0	1
	Very Poor	5	7	12
	Poor	19	19	38
	Fair	6	26	32
	Average	5	1	6
	Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>90</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Kalispell**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	0	16	16
	Poor	0	76	62	138
	Fair	0	74	392	466
	Average	152	16	6,608	6,776
	Good	72	194	1,922	2,188
	Very Good	10	14	378	402
	Excellent	2	0	326	328
<b>Total</b>		<b>236</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>9,708</b>	<b>10,318</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Kevin**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Very Poor	0	22	22
	Poor	2	33	35
	Fair	7	10	17
	Average	7	4	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>87</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Laurel**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	7	7
	Very Poor	0	0	4	4
	Poor	0	87	15	102
	Fair	0	85	264	349
	Average	0	57	1,192	1,249
	Good	14	35	354	403
	Very Good	6	60	23	89
<b>Total</b>		<b>20</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>1,859</b>	<b>2,203</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lavina**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	3
	Very Poor	1	6	7
	Fair	7	43	50
	Average	3	9	12
	Poor	4	12	16
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>88</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Lewistown**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	12	0	18	30
	Very Poor	0	10	38	48
	Poor	0	21	97	118
	Fair	2	69	405	476
	Average	7	109	936	1,052
	Good	33	53	433	519
	Very Good	36	20	97	153
	Excellent	1	1	5	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>2,029</b>	<b>2,403</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Libby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	10	10
	Very Poor	0	8	8
	Poor	0	40	40
	Fair	20	206	226
	Average	6	1,568	1,574
	Good	4	2	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>1,864</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lima**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	2	4
	Very Poor	2	6	8
	Poor	3	21	24
	Fair	19	49	68
	Average	5	37	42
	Good	3	28	31
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>178</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Livingston**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	8	11
	Very Poor	0	4	39	43
	Poor	0	7	150	157
	Fair	0	42	775	817
	Average	2	129	858	989
	Good	2	2	594	598
	Very Good	0	0	20	20
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>2,445</b>	<b>2,636</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lodge Grass**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	5	3	8
	Very Poor	0	4	4
	Poor	3	19	22
	Fair	27	72	99
	Average	16	0	16
<b>Total</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>149</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Malta**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	5	5
	Very Poor	1	13	14
	Poor	5	30	35
	Fair	34	156	190
	Average	30	237	267
	Good	7	286	293
	Very Good	0	19	19
	Excellent	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>77</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>825</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Manhattan**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	0	0	2	2
	Average	2	31	305	338
	Fair	0	17	3	20
	Good	0	1	134	135
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>495</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Medicine Lake**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	28	29
	Very Poor	0	57	57
	Poor	7	37	44
	Fair	13	8	21
	Average	3	1	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>155</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Melstone**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	7	8
	Very Poor	4	17	21
	Poor	5	24	29
	Fair	12	27	39
	Average	20	35	55
	Good	5	6	11
	Very Good	0	1	1
	Excellent	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>47</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>165</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Miles City**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	5	35	<b>40</b>
	Very Poor	14	99	<b>113</b>
	Poor	96	285	<b>381</b>
	Fair	78	779	<b>857</b>
	Good	4	568	<b>572</b>
	Average	96	910	<b>1,006</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>293</b>	<b>2,676</b>	<b>2,969</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Missoula**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	5	3	8
	Very Poor	0	2	3	5
	Poor	0	4	5	9
	Fair	0	503	55	558
	Average	167	836	2,542	3,545
	Good	359	149	7,690	8,198
	Very Good	178	1	2,926	3,105
	Excellent	24	0	23	47
<b>Total</b>		<b>728</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>13,247</b>	<b>15,475</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Moore**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	1	2	3
	Poor	5	14	19
	Fair	6	27	33
	Average	9	17	26
	Good	13	2	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>34</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>96</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Nashua**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	3	12	15
	Very Poor	0	19	19
	Poor	2	38	40
	Fair	13	66	79
	Average	18	15	33
	Good	3	1	4
	Very Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>191</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Neihart**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	3	9	12
	Poor	0	11	11
	Fair	3	21	24
	Average	3	31	34
	Good	0	21	21
	Very Good	1	15	16
	Excellent	1	3	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>126</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Opheim**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	9	9
	Very Poor	0	20	20
	Poor	0	26	26
	Fair	2	9	11
	Average	4	3	7
	Good	3	0	3
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>77</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Outlook**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	5	5
	Very Poor	0	7	7
	Poor	4	16	20
	Fair	4	2	6
	Average	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Phillipsburg**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	8	8
	Very Poor	3	3	6
	Poor	5	9	14
	Fair	36	71	107
	Average	53	133	186
	Fair	36	71	107
	Good	15	82	97
	Very Good	7	18	25
	Excellent	0	4	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>119</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>447</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Plains**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	32	2	<b>34</b>
	Poor	132	0	<b>132</b>
	Fair	64	58	<b>122</b>
	Average	70	528	<b>598</b>
	Good	0	22	<b>22</b>
	Very Good	0	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>298</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>910</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Plentywood**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	21	<b>24</b>
	Very Poor	0	2	63	<b>65</b>
	Poor	0	15	112	<b>127</b>
	Fair	4	23	234	<b>261</b>
	Average	0	11	253	<b>264</b>
	Good	2	3	40	<b>45</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>786</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Plevna**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	3	0	<b>3</b>
	Very Poor	3	13	<b>16</b>
	Poor	2	14	<b>16</b>
	Fair	5	23	<b>28</b>
	Average	2	4	<b>6</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>69</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Polson**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	0	10	6	16
	Poor	0	112	24	136
	Fair	0	28	114	142
	Average	0	56	1,638	1,694
	Good	0	28	472	500
	Very Good	92	42	264	398
	Excellent	84	2	18	104
<b>Total</b>		<b>176</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>2,536</b>	<b>2,990</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Poplar**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	9	11
	Very Poor	7	20	27
	Poor	13	43	56
	Fair	21	75	96
	Average	15	67	82
	Good	7	3	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>282</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Red Lodge**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	16	19
	Very Poor	0	3	13	16
	Poor	0	20	92	112
	Fair	0	15	304	319
	Average	0	4	362	366
	Good	32	5	252	289
	Very Good	18	0	113	131
	Excellent	0	0	6	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1,158</b>	<b>1,258</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Richey**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	39	40
	Very Poor	0	60	60
	Poor	1	18	19
	Fair	4	10	14
	Average	6	0	6
	Good	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>143</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ronan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	2	4	6
	Poor	128	10	138
	Fair	10	54	64
	Average	34	792	826
	Good	26	18	44
	Very Good	20	2	22
	Excellent	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>222</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>1,102</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Roundup**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	21	<b>21</b>
	Very Poor	0	31	<b>31</b>
	Poor	6	93	<b>99</b>
	Fair	61	384	<b>445</b>
	Average	30	189	<b>219</b>
	Good	6	21	<b>27</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>103</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>843</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ryegate**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	8	9
	Very Poor	5	7	12
	Poor	11	20	31
	Fair	8	60	68
	Average	6	7	13
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>133</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Saco**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	9	9
	Very Poor	1	12	13
	Poor	2	16	18
	Fair	10	24	34
	Average	4	38	42
	Good	0	9	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>125</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Scobey**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	18	19
	Very Poor	2	23	25
	Poor	2	38	40
	Fair	2	118	120
	Average	19	167	186
	Good	7	98	105
	Very Good	2	17	19
	Excellent	3	3	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>38</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>520</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Shelby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	16	17
	Very Poor	2	52	54
	Poor	6	115	121
	Fair	60	250	310
	Average	81	399	480
	Good	2	60	62
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>152</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>1,045</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Sheridan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	2
	Poor	0	5	5
	Fair	15	19	34
	Average	53	121	174
	Good	4	95	99
	Very Good	0	14	14
<b>Total</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>328</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Sidney**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	11	12
	Very Poor	0	3	50	53
	Poor	0	5	96	101
	Fair	0	33	416	449
	Average	0	101	520	621
	Good	0	7	193	200
	Very Good	24	0	154	178
	Excellent	0	0	21	21
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>1,461</b>	<b>1,635</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = St. Ignatius**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	2	6	8
	Poor	30	6	36
	Fair	2	38	40
	Average	26	336	362
	Good	10	12	22
	Very Good	6	0	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>76</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>474</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Stanford**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	9	9
	Very Poor	0	10	10
	Poor	1	19	20
	Fair	4	73	77
	Average	13	89	102
	Good	8	7	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>26</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>233</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Stevensville**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	1	1
	Very Poor	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	2	0	2
	Fair	0	16	10	26
	Average	18	5	261	284
	Good	0	2	217	219
	Very Good	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>535</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Sunburst**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	5	5
	Very Poor	0	15	15
	Poor	2	29	31
	Fair	15	67	82
	Average	14	33	47
	Good	0	7	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>187</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Superior**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	1	1
	Very Poor	0	1	1	2
	Poor	0	2	9	11
	Fair	0	5	40	45
	Average	0	76	163	239
	Good	0	10	34	44
	Very Good	4	0	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>346</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Terry**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	23	24
	Very Poor	2	16	18
	Poor	5	55	60
	Fair	20	170	190
	Average	18	25	43
	Good	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>49</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>338</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Thompson Falls**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	6	0	6
	Very Poor	8	4	12
	Poor	90	2	92
	Fair	76	70	146
	Average	40	648	688
	Good	6	78	84
	Very Good	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>226</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>1,030</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Three Forks**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Very Poor	0	1	1
	Poor	0	1	1
	Fair	30	7	37
	Average	174	442	616
	Good	3	9	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>207</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>667</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Townsend**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	3	4
	Very Poor	1	14	15
	Poor	6	10	16
	Fair	40	93	133
	Average	67	376	443
	Good	78	19	97
<b>Total</b>		<b>193</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>708</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Troy**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	4	4
	Very Poor	0	2	2
	Poor	4	30	34
	Fair	134	132	266
	Average	40	420	460
	Good	36	2	38
<b>Total</b>		<b>214</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>804</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Twin Bridges**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	5	6
	Very Poor	1	1	2
	Poor	0	7	7
	Fair	40	46	86
	Average	24	114	138
	Good	0	24	24
	Very Good	0	7	7
	Excellent	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>271</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Valier**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	5	6
	Very Poor	0	9	9
	Poor	1	23	24
	Fair	8	58	66
	Average	30	89	119
	Good	1	32	33
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>258</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Virginia City**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	7	8
	Very Poor	0	5	5
	Poor	0	10	10
	Fair	23	39	62
	Average	11	88	99
	Good	1	75	76
	Very Good	0	4	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>264</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Walkerville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	7	7
	Very Poor	0	12	12
	Poor	1	70	71
	Fair	3	158	161
	Average	7	48	55
	Good	3	10	13
	Very Good	1	2	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>322</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = West Yellowstone**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	1	1	2
	Very Poor	0	0	2	2
	Fair	0	3	15	18
	Average	9	128	132	269
	Good	55	0	132	187
	Very Good	0	0	4	4
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>483</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Westby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	26	<b>27</b>
	Very Poor	2	38	<b>40</b>
	Poor	1	21	<b>22</b>
	Fair	5	4	<b>9</b>
	Average	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>99</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = White Sulphur Springs**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	7	7
	Very Poor	3	14	17
	Poor	1	21	22
	Fair	9	84	93
	Average	112	202	314
	Good	11	10	21
	Very Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>476</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Whitefish**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	2	4	6
	Poor	0	68	4	72
	Fair	0	142	104	246
	Average	130	32	1,354	1,516
	Good	16	30	1,388	1,434
	Very Good	192	0	364	556
	Excellent	282	2	394	678
<b>Total</b>		<b>620</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>3,612</b>	<b>4,508</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Whitehall**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	1	5	6
	Very Poor	1	12	13
	Poor	0	15	15
	Fair	55	32	87
	Average	39	247	286
	Good	1	35	36
<b>Total</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>443</b>

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Wibaux**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	0	7	7
	Very Poor	0	2	33	35
	Poor	0	3	30	33
	Fair	0	12	88	100
	Average	0	31	76	107
	Good	15	7	4	26
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>308</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Winifred**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	0	3	3
	Very Poor	1	4	5
	Poor	1	17	18
	Fair	4	33	37
	Average	10	6	16
	Good	2	2	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>83</b>



---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Winnett**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	3	9	12
	Very Poor	1	11	12
	Poor	2	11	13
	Fair	15	38	53
	Average	17	12	29
	Good	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>121</b>

---

**TABLE 5**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Physical Condition by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Wolf Point**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Physical Condition	Unsound	2	11	13
	Very Poor	10	34	44
	Poor	9	87	96
	Fair	50	215	265
	Average	26	264	290
	Good	10	81	91
	Very Good	0	8	8
	Excellent	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>107</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>808</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Alberton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	3
	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	23	37	60
	Fair	0	43	43
	Average	13	14	27
	Good	7	2	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>148</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Anaconda**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	14	<b>14</b>
	Low Cost	8	405	<b>413</b>
	Fair	0	1,589	<b>1,589</b>
	Average	5	606	<b>611</b>
	Good	3	26	<b>29</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>2,641</b>	<b>2,657</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bainville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Low Cost	10	26	36
	Fair	0	28	28
	Average	5	8	13
	Good	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>84</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Baker**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	61	178	239
	Fair	0	265	265
	Average	29	158	187
	Good	24	4	28
<b>Total</b>		<b>114</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>726</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bearcreek**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Low Cost	9	9	<b>18</b>
	Fair	0	24	<b>24</b>
	Average	6	4	<b>10</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>54</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Belgrade**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Average	182	57	1,042	<b>1,281</b>
	Cheap	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	Fair	12	0	214	<b>226</b>
	Good	0	103	5	<b>108</b>
	Low Cost	0	123	27	<b>150</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>194</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>1,289</b>	<b>1,766</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Belt**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	37	10	47
	Fair	0	59	59
	Average	12	123	135
	Good	8	5	13
<b>Total</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>255</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Big Sandy**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	15	69	84
	Fair	0	120	120
	Average	19	70	89
	Good	8	1	9
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>313</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Big Timber**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	22	22
	Low Cost	0	32	114	146
	Fair	0	0	261	261
	Average	91	39	240	370
	Good	123	19	50	192
	Very Good	4	0	40	44
	Excellent	32	3	10	45
<b>Total</b>		<b>250</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>1,083</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Billings**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	6	6
	Poor	0	0	74	74
	Low Cost	1	1,451	810	2,262
	Fair	275	0	5,024	5,299
	Average	1,389	965	15,383	17,737
	Good	603	234	2,604	3,441
	Very Good	82	0	637	719
	Excellent	49	24	43	116
	Superior	0	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,399</b>	<b>2,674</b>	<b>24,584</b>	<b>29,657</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Boulder**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	5	5
	Low Cost	164	58	222
	Fair	0	152	152
	Average	21	59	80
	Good	24	7	31
<b>Total</b>		<b>209</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>491</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Bozeman**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	0	12	12
	Low Cost	0	367	127	494
	Fair	165	0	903	1,068
	Average	1,415	112	3,446	4,973
	Good	337	78	736	1,151
	Very Good	0	0	110	110
	Excellent	2	0	18	20
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,919</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>5,353</b>	<b>7,829</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Bridger**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	3	3
	Low Cost	27	49	76
	Fair	0	138	138
	Average	27	39	66
	Good	4	3	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>58</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>290</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Broadus**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	3
	Poor	0	11	11
	Low Cost	26	53	79
	Fair	0	70	70
	Average	36	42	78
	Good	8	4	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>253</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Broadview**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Low Cost	7	3	10
	Fair	0	14	14
	Average	15	23	38
	Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>63</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Brockton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	2	17	19
	Fair	0	5	5
	Average	6	1	7
	Good	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>36</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Browning**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	29	<b>29</b>
	Low Cost	15	53	<b>68</b>
	Fair	0	88	<b>88</b>
	Average	15	18	<b>33</b>
	Good	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>220</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Cascade**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	25	17	42
	Fair	0	72	72
	Average	17	115	132
	Good	9	4	13
<b>Total</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>263</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Chester**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	9	9
	Low Cost	14	42	56
	Fair	0	104	104
	Average	34	108	142
	Good	29	27	56
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>78</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>369</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Chinook**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	30	116	146
	Fair	0	272	272
	Average	11	109	120
	Good	2	26	28
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>575</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Choteau**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	10	10
	Low Cost	60	87	147
	Fair	0	270	270
	Average	17	238	255
	Good	12	19	31
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>715</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Circle**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	10	100	110
	Fair	0	87	87
	Average	13	89	102
	Good	5	2	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>28</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>311</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Clyde Park**

		Structure Type		<b>Total</b>
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	<b>1</b>
	Low Cost	30	16	<b>46</b>
	Fair	0	33	<b>33</b>
	Average	20	28	<b>48</b>
	Good	13	5	<b>18</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>63</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>146</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Colstrip**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	125	4	129
	Fair	0	118	118
	Average	145	259	404
	Good	21	8	29
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>291</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>682</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Columbia Falls**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Average	38	876	<b>914</b>
	Cheap	0	12	<b>12</b>
	Fair	0	858	<b>858</b>
	Good	46	128	<b>174</b>
	Low Cost	72	190	<b>262</b>
	Poor	0	38	<b>38</b>
	Very Good	0	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>156</b>	<b>2,104</b>	<b>2,260</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Columbus**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	13	68	81
	Fair	0	293	293
	Average	14	161	175
	Good	5	11	16
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>576</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Conrad**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	18	<b>18</b>
	Low Cost	93	107	<b>200</b>
	Fair	0	357	<b>357</b>
	Average	29	346	<b>375</b>
	Good	12	23	<b>35</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>134</b>	<b>852</b>	<b>986</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Culbertson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	21	44	65
	Fair	0	100	100
	Average	17	89	106
	Good	4	10	14
<b>Total</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>292</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Cut Bank**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	19	<b>19</b>
	Low Cost	56	195	<b>251</b>
	Fair	0	504	<b>504</b>
	Average	34	315	<b>349</b>
	Good	3	51	<b>54</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>93</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>1,177</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Darby**

		Structure Type		<b>Total</b>
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Average	18	13	<b>31</b>
	Fair	0	105	<b>105</b>
	Good	4	0	<b>4</b>
	Low Cost	15	31	<b>46</b>
	Poor	0	4	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>190</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Deer Lodge**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	4	<b>4</b>
	Poor	0	23	<b>23</b>
	Low Cost	99	86	<b>185</b>
	Fair	0	491	<b>491</b>
	Average	114	452	<b>566</b>
	Good	31	20	<b>51</b>
	Very Good	0	6	<b>6</b>
	Excellent	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>246</b>	<b>1,082</b>	<b>1,328</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Denton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	7	41	48
	Fair	0	56	56
	Average	9	25	34
	Good	5	3	8
	Excellent	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>150</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dillon**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	5	5
	Poor	0	33	33
	Low Cost	77	146	223
	Fair	0	576	576
	Average	85	327	412
	Good	26	35	
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>189</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>1,312</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dodson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Average	6	2	8
	Cheap	0	1	1
	Fair	0	16	16
	Good	1	0	1
	Low Cost	8	25	33
	Poor	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>62</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Drummond**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Low Cost	4	3	7
	Fair	0	63	63
	Average	18	31	49
	Good	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>127</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Dutton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	13	28	41
	Fair	0	69	69
	Average	13	36	49
	Good	5	1	6
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>174</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = East Helena**

		Structure Type		<b>Total</b>
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	6	<b>6</b>
	Low Cost	2	48	<b>50</b>
	Fair	0	240	<b>240</b>
	Average	3	293	<b>296</b>
	Good	19	7	<b>26</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>618</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ekalaka**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	3	3
	Low Cost	55	60	115
	Fair	0	112	112
	Average	3	17	20
	Good	8	0	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>258</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ennis**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	3
	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	58	35	93
	Fair	0	82	82
	Average	35	152	187
	Good	15	7	22
	Very Good	0	3	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>108</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>394</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Eureka**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	24	<b>24</b>
	Low Cost	120	102	<b>222</b>
	Fair	0	356	<b>356</b>
	Average	40	188	<b>228</b>
	Good	4	10	<b>14</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>164</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>844</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fairfield**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	28	24	52
	Fair	0	115	115
	Average	12	61	73
	Good	11	15	26
	Excellent	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>53</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>274</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fairview**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	27	65	92
	Fair	0	141	141
	Average	24	51	75
	Good	6	0	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>57</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>319</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Flaxville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	0	24	24
	Fair	0	16	16
	Average	0	5	5
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Forsyth**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	18	18
	Low Cost	0	107	128	235
	Fair	6	0	235	241
	Average	0	32	225	257
	Good	0	3	6	9
	Very Good	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>764</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fort Benton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	44	67	111
	Fair	0	205	205
	Average	39	191	230
	Good	36	36	72
	Very Good	0	4	
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>120</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>630</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fort Peck**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	2	5	7
	Fair	0	6	6
	Average	1	98	99
	Good	2	2	4
	Very Good	0	1	1
Total		5	113	118



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Froid**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	8	29	37
	Fair	0	55	55
	Average	9	13	22
	Good	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>119</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Fromberg**

		Structure Type		<b>Total</b>
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Low Cost	34	47	<b>81</b>
	Fair	0	76	<b>76</b>
	Average	34	9	<b>43</b>
	Good	7	0	<b>7</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>209</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Geraldine**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	13	37	50
	Fair	0	39	39
	Average	15	16	31
	Good	8	1	9
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>139</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Glasgow**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	0	7	7
	Low Cost	0	21	184	205
	Fair	0	0	344	344
	Average	7	23	565	595
	Good	0	5	57	62
	Very Good	0	0	11	11
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1,170</b>	<b>1,226</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Glendive**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	10	10
	Low Cost	0	59	109	168
	Fair	0	0	571	571
	Average	6	35	924	965
	Good	0	3	55	58
	Very Good	0	0	12	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>1,684</b>	<b>1,787</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Grass Range**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	16	22	38
	Fair	0	14	14
	Average	9	1	10
	Good	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>77</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Great Falls**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	0	6	6
	Low Cost	0	788	222	1,010
	Fair	162	0	2,438	2,600
	Average	266	372	10,992	11,630
	Good	268	60	1,545	1,873
	Very Good	71	0	233	304
	Excellent	2	3	19	24
<b>Total</b>		<b>769</b>	<b>1,223</b>	<b>15,455</b>	<b>17,447</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Hamilton**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	0	7	7
	Low Cost	0	6	55	61
	Fair	0	0	594	594
	Average	91	4	314	409
	Good	48	4	18	70
	Very Good	0	0	1	1
	Excellent	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>139</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>1,144</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hardin**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	5	5
	Low Cost	123	50	173
	Fair	0	334	334
	Average	88	433	521
	Good	25	22	47
<b>Total</b>		<b>236</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>1,081</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Harlem**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	24	<b>24</b>
	Low Cost	45	81	<b>126</b>
	Fair	0	98	<b>98</b>
	Average	10	54	<b>64</b>
	Good	6	11	<b>17</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>61</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>330</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Harlowton**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Poor	0	20	<b>20</b>
	Low Cost	14	132	<b>146</b>
	Fair	0	193	<b>193</b>
	Average	18	110	<b>128</b>
	Good	20	2	<b>22</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>52</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>512</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

Municipality = Havre

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	3
	Poor	0	30	30
	Low Cost	270	238	508
	Fair	0	1,007	1,007
	Average	82	1,211	1,293
	Good	22	150	172
	Very Good	0	19	19
	Excellent	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>376</b>	<b>2,658</b>	<b>3,034</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Helena**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	0	11	11
	Low Cost	0	276	105	381
	Fair	0	0	1,329	1,329
	Average	163	120	4,071	4,354
	Good	268	203	832	1,303
	Very Good	64	0	160	224
	Excellent	1	2	15	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>496</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>6,524</b>	<b>7,621</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hingham**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	18	48	66
	Fair	0	54	54
	Average	8	53	61
	Good	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>28</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>191</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hobson**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	10	18	28
	Fair	0	36	36
	Average	14	21	35
	Good	7	2	9
	Excellent	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>113</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hot Springs**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Low Cost	58	210	268
	Fair	0	142	142
	Average	4	26	30
	Good	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>548</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Hysham**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	24	27	51
	Fair	0	56	56
	Average	16	28	44
	Good	3	1	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>161</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ismay**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	3	1	4
	Fair	0	6	6
	Average	1	1	2
	Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Joliet**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	28	44	72
	Fair	0	98	98
	Average	12	47	59
	Good	1	2	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>234</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Jordan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	16	16
	Low Cost	24	67	91
	Fair	0	41	41
	Average	30	13	43
	Excellent	1	0	1
	Good	20	0	20
<b>Total</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>214</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Judith Gap**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	19	30	49
	Fair	0	11	11
	Average	14	5	19
	Good	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>90</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Kalispell**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	26	<b>26</b>
	Poor	0	0	196	<b>196</b>
	Low Cost	0	154	826	<b>980</b>
	Fair	16	0	3,564	<b>3,580</b>
	Average	178	64	3,748	<b>3,990</b>
	Good	42	130	1,014	<b>1,186</b>
	Very Good	0	0	318	<b>318</b>
	Excellent	0	26	16	<b>42</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>236</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>9,708</b>	<b>10,318</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Kevin**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	4	<b>4</b>
	Low Cost	10	25	<b>35</b>
	Fair	0	34	<b>34</b>
	Average	6	8	<b>14</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>87</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Laurel**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	2	2
	Poor	0	0	11	11
	Low Cost	0	124	150	274
	Fair	0	0	876	876
	Average	20	164	803	987
	Good	0	32	17	49
	Excellent	0	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>20</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>1,859</b>	<b>2,203</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lavina**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	2	23	25
	Fair	0	39	39
	Average	11	8	19
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>88</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Lewistown**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	2	2
	Poor	0	0	31	31
	Low Cost	0	144	171	315
	Fair	0	0	734	734
	Average	44	81	964	1,089
	Good	47	51	121	219
	Very Good	0	0	6	6
	Excellent	0	7	0	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>2,029</b>	<b>2,403</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Libby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	4	<b>4</b>
	Poor	0	60	<b>60</b>
	Low Cost	22	362	<b>384</b>
	Fair	0	804	<b>804</b>
	Average	8	570	<b>578</b>
	Good	0	34	<b>34</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>30</b>	<b>1,834</b>	<b>1,864</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lima**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	24	24
	Low Cost	13	74	87
	Fair	0	36	36
	Average	17	7	24
	Good	5	1	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>178</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Livingston**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	6	6
	Poor	0	0	12	12
	Low Cost	0	68	169	237
	Fair	0	0	727	727
	Average	4	77	1,359	1,440
	Good	0	41	149	190
	Very Good	0	0	22	22
	Excellent	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>2,445</b>	<b>2,636</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Lodge Grass**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	28	14	42
	Fair	0	56	56
	Average	18	23	41
	Good	5	0	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>149</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Malta**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	14	14
	Low Cost	39	180	219
	Fair	0	250	250
	Average	27	291	318
	Good	11	11	22
<b>Total</b>		<b>77</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>825</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Manhattan**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Low Cost	0	25	12	<b>37</b>
	Fair	0	0	125	<b>125</b>
	Average	2	17	293	<b>312</b>
	Good	0	7	14	<b>21</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>495</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Medicine Lake**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	13	13
	Low Cost	15	54	69
	Fair	0	43	43
	Average	7	20	27
	Good	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>155</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Melstone**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Low Cost	29	31	<b>60</b>
	Fair	0	61	<b>61</b>
	Average	13	20	<b>33</b>
	Good	5	4	<b>9</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>47</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>165</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Miles City**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	5	<b>5</b>
	Poor	0	166	<b>166</b>
	Low Cost	189	488	<b>677</b>
	Fair	0	1,236	<b>1,236</b>
	Average	86	677	<b>763</b>
	Good	18	93	<b>111</b>
	Very Good	0	11	<b>11</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>293</b>	<b>2,676</b>	<b>2,969</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Missoula**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	13	13
	Low Cost	0	921	252	1,173
	Fair	41	0	2,201	2,242
	Average	552	479	8,775	9,806
	Good	84	98	1,701	1,883
	Very Good	51	0	289	340
	Excellent	0	2	13	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>728</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>13,247</b>	<b>15,475</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Moore**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Low Cost	13	21	<b>34</b>
	Fair	0	26	<b>26</b>
	Average	14	14	<b>28</b>
	Good	7	1	<b>8</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>34</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>96</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Nashua**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	5	5
	Low Cost	14	29	43
	Fair	0	74	74
	Average	23	42	65
	Good	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>191</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Neihart**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	10	10
	Low Cost	9	28	37
	Fair	0	46	46
	Average	0	24	24
	Good	1	6	7
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>126</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Opheim**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	3	3
	Low Cost	1	23	24
	Fair	0	33	33
	Average	7	8	15
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>77</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Outlook**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	3
	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	5	5	10
	Fair	0	14	14
	Average	3	6	9
	Good	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>39</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Phillipsburg**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	36	16	52
	Fair	0	216	216
	Average	47	72	119
	Good	35	13	48
	Very Good	0	3	3
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>119</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>447</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Plains**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Poor	0	26	<b>26</b>
	Low Cost	202	210	<b>412</b>
	Fair	0	286	<b>286</b>
	Average	78	70	<b>148</b>
	Good	18	18	<b>36</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>298</b>	<b>612</b>	<b>910</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Plentywood**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	4	4
	Poor	0	0	20	20
	Low Cost	0	35	161	196
	Fair	4	0	235	239
	Average	2	17	268	287
	Good	0	5	33	38
	Very Good	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>786</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Plevna**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Low Cost	9	32	<b>41</b>
	Fair	0	18	<b>18</b>
	Average	5	1	<b>6</b>
	Good	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>69</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Polson**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	8	8
	Poor	0	0	76	76
	Low Cost	0	126	412	538
	Fair	0	0	942	942
	Average	20	98	798	916
	Good	156	50	210	416
	Very Good	0	0	84	84
	Excellent	0	4	4	8
	Superior	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>176</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>2,536</b>	<b>2,990</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Poplar**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	1	1
	Low Cost	42	63	105
	Fair	0	99	99
	Average	18	47	65
	Good	3	7	10
	Excellent	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>282</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Red Lodge**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	9	9
	Poor	0	0	12	12
	Low Cost	0	28	140	168
	Fair	0	0	527	527
	Average	8	19	331	358
	Good	42	3	127	172
	Very Good	0	0	12	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1,158</b>	<b>1,258</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Richey**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	6	24	30
	Fair	0	73	73
	Average	8	26	34
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>143</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ronan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	4	<b>4</b>
	Poor	0	24	<b>24</b>
	Low Cost	148	240	<b>388</b>
	Fair	0	406	<b>406</b>
	Average	54	198	<b>252</b>
	Good	20	8	<b>28</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>222</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>1,102</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Roundup**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	19	19
	Low Cost	58	161	219
	Fair	0	333	333
	Average	37	215	252
	Good	8	11	19
<b>Total</b>		<b>103</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>843</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Ryegate**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	4	4
	Low Cost	13	29	42
	Fair	0	50	50
	Average	13	18	31
	Good	5	0	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>133</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Saco**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	8	49	57
	Fair	0	35	35
	Average	7	15	22
	Good	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>125</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Scobey**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Poor	0	23	<b>23</b>
	Low Cost	16	150	<b>166</b>
	Fair	0	180	<b>180</b>
	Average	15	106	<b>121</b>
	Good	7	18	<b>25</b>
	Very Good	0	3	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>38</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>520</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Shelby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	<b>1</b>
	Poor	0	16	<b>16</b>
	Low Cost	103	146	<b>249</b>
	Fair	0	409	<b>409</b>
	Average	35	258	<b>293</b>
	Good	14	62	<b>76</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>152</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>1,045</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Sheridan**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	46	43	89
	Fair	0	96	96
	Average	18	101	119
	Good	8	9	17
	Very Good	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>328</b>



**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Sidney**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	0	12	12
	Low Cost	0	66	208	274
	Fair	24	0	731	755
	Average	0	65	472	537
	Good	0	19	34	53
	Very Good	0	0	4	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>1,461</b>	<b>1,635</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = St. Ignatius**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	8	<b>8</b>
	Low Cost	30	132	<b>162</b>
	Fair	0	196	<b>196</b>
	Average	38	58	<b>96</b>
	Good	8	4	<b>12</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>76</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>474</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Stanford**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	8	<b>8</b>
	Low Cost	13	47	<b>60</b>
	Fair	0	93	<b>93</b>
	Average	7	51	<b>58</b>
	Good	6	8	<b>14</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>26</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>233</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Stevensville**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	0	4	4
	Low Cost	0	17	46	63
	Fair	4	0	243	247
	Average	14	5	193	212
	Good	0	2	5	7
	Excellent	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>535</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Sunburst**

		Structure Type		<b>Total</b>
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Average	9	31	<b>40</b>
	Fair	0	83	<b>83</b>
	Good	5	2	<b>7</b>
	Low Cost	17	36	<b>53</b>
	Poor	0	4	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>187</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Superior**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	3	3
	Poor	0	0	15	15
	Low Cost	0	58	60	118
	Fair	0	0	117	117
	Average	0	24	51	75
	Good	4	12	2	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>346</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Terry**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Poor	0	19	<b>19</b>
	Low Cost	25	92	<b>117</b>
	Fair	0	128	<b>128</b>
	Average	12	47	<b>59</b>
	Good	12	0	<b>12</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>49</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>338</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Thompson Falls**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Poor	0	28	<b>28</b>
	Low Cost	172	190	<b>362</b>
	Fair	0	378	<b>378</b>
	Average	40	196	<b>236</b>
	Good	14	10	<b>24</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>226</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>1,030</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Three Forks**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	1	1
	Poor	0	3	3
	Low Cost	52	32	84
	Fair	0	191	191
	Average	82	224	306
	Good	72	9	81
	Excellent	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>207</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>667</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Townsend**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	7	7
	Low Cost	96	47	143
	Fair	0	305	305
	Average	71	143	214
	Good	26	13	39
<b>Total</b>		<b>193</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>708</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Troy**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	6	<b>6</b>
	Poor	0	62	<b>62</b>
	Low Cost	136	170	<b>306</b>
	Fair	0	248	<b>248</b>
	Average	66	102	<b>168</b>
	Good	12	2	<b>14</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>214</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>804</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Twin Bridges**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Poor	0	13	<b>13</b>
	Low Cost	49	32	<b>81</b>
	Fair	0	89	<b>89</b>
	Average	12	60	<b>72</b>
	Good	5	7	<b>12</b>
	Very Good	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>271</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Valier**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	12	35	47
	Fair	0	104	104
	Average	10	71	81
	Good	19	3	22
<b>Total</b>		<b>41</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>258</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Virginia City**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	9	<b>9</b>
	Poor	0	12	<b>12</b>
	Low Cost	22	39	<b>61</b>
	Fair	0	114	<b>114</b>
	Average	11	49	<b>60</b>
	Good	3	5	<b>8</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>264</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Walkerville**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	2	2
	Low Cost	8	68	76
	Fair	0	207	207
	Average	3	27	30
	Good	4	3	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>322</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = West Yellowstone**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	1
	Poor	0	0	5	5
	Low Cost	0	104	37	141
	Fair	0	0	75	75
	Average	64	25	129	218
	Good	0	3	26	29
	Very Good	0	0	12	12
	Excellent	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>483</b>



---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Westby**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	7	7
	Poor	0	10	10
	Low Cost	4	27	31
	Fair	0	33	33
	Average	6	12	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>99</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = White Sulphur Springs**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	2
	Poor	0	8	8
	Low Cost	83	86	169
	Fair	0	145	145
	Average	44	81	125
	Good	11	16	27
<b>Total</b>		<b>138</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>476</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Whitefish**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	22	<b>22</b>
	Poor	0	0	44	<b>44</b>
	Low Cost	0	228	194	<b>422</b>
	Fair	62	0	1,266	<b>1,328</b>
	Average	144	42	1,174	<b>1,360</b>
	Good	182	6	472	<b>660</b>
	Very Good	212	0	222	<b>434</b>
	Excellent	0	0	84	<b>84</b>
	Superior	20	0	134	<b>154</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>620</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>3,612</b>	<b>4,508</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Whitehall**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	2	<b>2</b>
	Poor	0	27	<b>27</b>
	Low Cost	68	68	<b>136</b>
	Fair	0	166	<b>166</b>
	Average	15	74	<b>89</b>
	Good	14	9	<b>23</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>97</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>443</b>

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

**Municipality = Wibaux**

		Structure Type			Total
		Condo	Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	Poor	0	0	10	<b>10</b>
	Low Cost	0	20	43	<b>63</b>
	Fair	0	0	122	<b>122</b>
	Average	15	13	60	<b>88</b>
	Good	0	22	2	<b>24</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>308</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Winifred**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	6	6
	Low Cost	7	23	30
	Fair	0	28	28
	Average	7	8	15
	Good	4	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>83</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Winnett**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Cheap	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Poor	0	17	<b>17</b>
	Low Cost	12	37	<b>49</b>
	Fair	0	20	<b>20</b>
	Average	17	5	<b>22</b>
	Good	10	0	<b>10</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>121</b>

---

**TABLE 6**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Structure Type**

---

**Municipality = Wolf Point**

		Structure Type		Total
		Mobile	Single	
Quality & Workmanship	Poor	0	16	<b>16</b>
	Low Cost	69	145	<b>214</b>
	Fair	0	297	<b>297</b>
	Average	27	213	<b>240</b>
	Good	8	27	<b>35</b>
	Very Good	0	3	<b>3</b>
	Excellent	3	0	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>107</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>808</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Alberton**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	6	37	34	5	0	85
	1960-1969	0	0	9	2	0	0	11
	1970-1979	0	0	13	2	3	0	18
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	4	0	8
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	9	7	18
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	4	1	5
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>148</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Anaconda**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	14	409	1,540	516	23	1	2,503
	1960-1969	0	1	6	18	0	0	25
	1970-1979	0	3	37	47	2	0	89
	1980-1989	0	0	2	3	0	0	5
	1990-1999	0	0	3	23	3	0	29
	2000	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
	2002	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>14</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>1,589</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2,657</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Bainville**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	26	24	4	0	<b>54</b>
	1970-1979	8	1	1	0	<b>10</b>
	1980-1989	2	3	7	4	<b>16</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	3	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>84</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Baker**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	5	179	206	41	0	432
	1960-1969	0	0	18	24	49	1	92
	1970-1979	0	0	36	33	50	2	121
	1980-1989	0	0	6	2	29	0	37
	1990-1999	0	1	0	0	15	22	38
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>726</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Bearcreek**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	18	1	<b>29</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	0	1	<b>3</b>
	1970-1979	0	7	0	2	<b>9</b>
	1990-1999	0	1	5	3	<b>9</b>
	2001	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
	2002	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>54</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Belgrade**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Cheap	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	26	145	97	0	<b>269</b>
	1960-1969	0	24	5	48	0	<b>77</b>
	1970-1979	0	84	16	296	3	<b>399</b>
	1980-1989	0	15	20	197	3	<b>235</b>
	1990-1999	0	1	22	484	100	<b>607</b>
	2000	0	0	4	80	0	<b>84</b>
	2001	0	0	9	48	2	<b>59</b>
	2002	0	0	1	15	0	<b>16</b>
	2003	0	0	4	16	0	<b>20</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>1,281</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>1,766</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Belt**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	10	50	93	0	<b>154</b>
	1960-1969	0	6	0	14	2	<b>22</b>
	1970-1979	0	29	4	14	4	<b>51</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	2	9	1	<b>14</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	3	4	3	<b>10</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2003	0	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>255</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Big Sandy**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	68	108	42	0	0	<b>228</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	7	2	12	0	0	<b>21</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	8	8	24	0	0	<b>40</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	1	2	5	3	0	<b>11</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	6	5	1	<b>12</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>313</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Big Timber**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	21	107	221	121	7	1	0	<b>481</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	15	16	35	1	0	0	<b>67</b>
	1970-1979	0	1	18	11	84	114	0	0	<b>228</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	2	1	18	12	0	2	<b>35</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	3	5	67	37	21	29	<b>162</b>
	2000	0	0	1	2	8	12	9	11	<b>43</b>
	2001	0	0	0	2	32	2	1	3	<b>40</b>
	2002	0	0	0	2	4	4	8	0	<b>18</b>
	2003	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	0	<b>9</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1,083</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Billings**

		Quality & Workmanship									Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Superior	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	72	828	4,180	6,917	441	54	6	1	12,505
	1960-1969	0	1	261	263	2,331	213	28	0	0	3,097
	1970-1979	0	1	1,041	268	3,750	683	89	2	0	5,834
	1980-1989	0	0	130	445	2,131	645	123	52	0	3,526
	1990-1999	0	0	1	88	1,901	949	257	38	0	3,234
	2000	0	0	0	19	192	90	43	5	0	349
	2001	0	0	1	20	223	143	56	3	0	446
	2002	0	0	0	5	191	180	45	8	1	430
	2003	0	0	0	11	101	96	24	2	1	235
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total		6	74	2,262	5,299	17,737	3,441	719	116	3	29,657

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Boulder**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	5	66	51	14	1	138
	1960-1969	0	0	53	8	14	0	75
	1970-1979	0	0	102	84	10	0	196
	1980-1989	0	0	1	5	19	0	25
	1990-1999	0	0	0	4	20	21	45
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>491</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Bozeman**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	11	135	842	1,219	156	25	7	<b>2,396</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	129	8	402	46	5	0	<b>590</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	212	42	805	75	5	1	<b>1,140</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	15	20	478	226	12	4	<b>756</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	89	1,302	366	35	4	<b>1,798</b>
	2000	0	0	1	24	171	59	12	1	<b>268</b>
	2001	0	0	0	32	256	89	12	2	<b>391</b>
	2002	0	0	0	11	257	97	4	1	<b>370</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	79	37	0	0	<b>116</b>
	2004	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>1,068</b>	<b>4,973</b>	<b>1,151</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7,829</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Bridger**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	50	117	18	1	<b>189</b>
	1960-1969	0	5	11	4	1	<b>21</b>
	1970-1979	0	20	8	18	0	<b>46</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	2	10	0	<b>13</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	14	3	<b>17</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2003	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>290</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Broadus**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	11	51	52	14	1	131
	1960-1969	0	0	10	7	11	2	30
	1970-1979	0	0	17	9	18	1	45
	1980-1989	0	0	1	1	16	1	19
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	13	6	19
	2000	0	0	0	0	4	1	5
	2001	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>253</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Broadview**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	12	12	0	26
	1960-1969	0	0	4	0	4
	1970-1979	6	0	3	0	9
	1980-1989	2	1	9	0	12
	1990-1999	0	1	9	1	11
	2000	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>63</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Brockton**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	17	4	1	0	<b>24</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
	1970-1979	0	2	0	1	0	<b>3</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	3	0	<b>3</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	3	<b>5</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>36</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Browning**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	28	45	72	10	0	<b>155</b>
	1960-1969	1	11	6	2	0	<b>20</b>
	1970-1979	0	11	6	5	0	<b>22</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	2	6	2	<b>11</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	8	0	<b>9</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	1	1	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>220</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Cascade**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	16	60	71	1	<b>152</b>
	1960-1969	0	5	3	19	0	<b>27</b>
	1970-1979	0	19	5	25	3	<b>52</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	2	6	2	<b>12</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	10	5	<b>17</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>263</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Chester**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	9	44	91	68	10	0	223
	1960-1969	0	0	7	5	13	4	0	29
	1970-1979	0	0	5	6	36	21	1	69
	1980-1989	0	0	0	1	14	7	0	22
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	9	12	0	22
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>369</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Chinook**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	7	112	258	66	12	0	456
	1960-1969	0	0	5	2	7	0	0	14
	1970-1979	0	0	24	5	24	9	1	63
	1980-1989	0	0	4	5	12	2	0	23
	1990-1999	0	0	1	2	11	3	0	17
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>575</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Choteau**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	10	83	250	124	2	0	<b>470</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	15	7	23	1	0	<b>46</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	47	7	48	2	0	<b>104</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	5	25	4	0	<b>34</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	1	30	17	1	<b>51</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	<b>3</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	<b>6</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>715</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Circle**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	4	100	69	44	0	218
	1960-1969	0	0	4	9	14	0	27
	1970-1979	0	0	5	5	31	0	41
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	11	2	17
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	2	5	8
Total		1	4	110	87	102	7	311

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Clyde Park**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	16	27	14	4	<b>62</b>
	1960-1969	0	9	1	2	0	<b>12</b>
	1970-1979	0	20	1	17	2	<b>40</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	0	4	1	<b>6</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	3	10	10	<b>23</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
	2002	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>146</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Colstrip**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	0	3	58	7	0	0	<b>68</b>
	1960-1969	0	12	0	1	0	0	<b>13</b>
	1970-1979	1	90	50	132	2	0	<b>275</b>
	1980-1989	0	24	6	202	11	1	<b>244</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	59	10	0	<b>70</b>
	2000	0	0	1	1	4	0	<b>6</b>
	2001	0	0	2	0	1	0	<b>3</b>
	2002	0	0	0	2	0	0	<b>2</b>
	2004	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>682</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Columbia Falls**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	12	34	172	548	206	28	0	<b>1,000</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	28	104	150	22	0	<b>304</b>
	1970-1979	0	2	58	146	106	20	0	<b>332</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	4	32	34	8	0	<b>80</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	28	322	44	0	<b>394</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	16	8	0	<b>24</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	16	2	0	<b>18</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	26	18	2	<b>46</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	38	24	0	<b>62</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>858</b>	<b>914</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2,260</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Columbus**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	62	208	62	3	0	345
	1960-1969	0	0	3	5	7	1	0	16
	1970-1979	0	0	10	23	35	2	0	70
	1980-1989	0	0	2	13	23	0	0	38
	1990-1999	0	0	4	30	42	10	1	87
	2000	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	9
	2001	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	9
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>576</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Conrad**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	18	105	343	214	10	0	<b>690</b>
	1960-1969	0	31	3	24	2	0	<b>60</b>
	1970-1979	0	60	4	68	7	0	<b>139</b>
	1980-1989	0	4	3	40	6	0	<b>53</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	3	26	9	1	<b>39</b>
	2000	0	0	1	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
	2001	0	0	0	2	0	0	<b>2</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>986</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Culbertson**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	7	44	90	40	0	<b>181</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	6	18	1	<b>26</b>
	1970-1979	0	19	2	16	4	<b>41</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	2	21	4	<b>27</b>
	1990-1999	0	1	0	9	4	<b>14</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2003	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>292</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Cut Bank**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	19	191	480	199	11	<b>900</b>
	1960-1969	0	16	9	28	7	<b>60</b>
	1970-1979	0	38	9	78	28	<b>153</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	3	32	5	<b>42</b>
	1990-1999	0	4	2	11	3	<b>20</b>
	2000	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>1,177</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Darby**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	28	59	1	0	<b>91</b>
	1960-1969	0	6	6	2	0	<b>14</b>
	1970-1979	1	10	22	3	0	<b>36</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	8	6	0	<b>16</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	10	11	0	<b>21</b>
	2000	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
	2001	0	0	0	4	1	<b>5</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2003	0	0	0	2	2	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>190</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Deer Lodge**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	23	86	480	302	15	6	0	916
	1960-1969	0	0	40	3	54	3	0	0	100
	1970-1979	0	0	54	6	159	11	0	0	230
	1980-1989	0	0	5	0	27	7	0	0	39
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	19	15	0	2	37
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	2001	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1,328</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Denton**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	40	56	19	1	0	118
	1960-1969	0	3	0	1	0	0	4
	1970-1979	0	4	0	5	1	0	10
	1980-1989	0	1	0	4	2	0	7
	1990-1999	0	0	0	5	4	0	9
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>150</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Dillon**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	5	29	150	434	167	16	0	0	<b>801</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	28	48	77	13	1	0	<b>169</b>
	1970-1979	0	1	39	56	105	10	0	1	<b>212</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	2	25	22	5	0	0	<b>55</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	4	11	38	15	0	0	<b>68</b>
	2000	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	<b>4</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>576</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,312</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Dodson**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	3	25	16	2	0	47
	1960-1969	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
	1970-1979	0	0	6	0	2	0	8
	1980-1989	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>62</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Drummond**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Cheap	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	5	62	23	0	<b>91</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	0	7	2	<b>11</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	1	14	2	<b>17</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	1	<b>4</b>
	2002	0	0	0	1	2	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>127</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Dutton**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	8	30	68	31	0	0	137
	1960-1969	0	4	0	1	0	0	5
	1970-1979	0	7	0	1	0	0	8
	1980-1989	0	0	0	11	2	0	13
	1990-1999	0	0	0	4	3	1	8
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2003	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>174</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = East Helena**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	47	224	98	0	<b>375</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	9	48	0	<b>58</b>
	1970-1979	0	2	5	104	1	<b>112</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	2	15	0	<b>17</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	12	14	<b>26</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	10	6	<b>16</b>
	2003	0	0	0	9	2	<b>11</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>618</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Ekalaka**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	61	105	9	0	<b>178</b>
	1960-1969	0	20	4	2	0	<b>26</b>
	1970-1979	0	29	3	5	0	<b>37</b>
	1980-1989	0	5	0	3	0	<b>8</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	5	<b>6</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	3	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>258</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Ennis**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	3	33	62	38	0	0	138
	1960-1969	0	0	16	1	11	0	0	28
	1970-1979	1	0	41	9	44	1	0	96
	1980-1989	0	0	2	7	38	3	1	51
	1990-1999	0	1	1	2	51	13	2	70
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4
	2002	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>394</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Eureka**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	22	92	292	92	6	<b>504</b>
	1960-1969	0	44	16	18	0	<b>78</b>
	1970-1979	0	78	24	28	0	<b>130</b>
	1980-1989	2	8	8	34	0	<b>52</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	16	42	8	<b>66</b>
	2000	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
	2001	0	0	0	4	0	<b>4</b>
	2002	0	0	0	8	0	<b>8</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>844</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Fairfield**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	24	109	35	3	0	177
	1960-1969	0	6	3	10	0	0	19
	1970-1979	0	22	2	10	2	0	36
	1980-1989	0	0	1	8	2	0	11
	1990-1999	0	0	0	9	17	1	27
	2000	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>274</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Fairview**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	4	65	118	27	0	215
	1960-1969	0	0	1	8	3	0	12
	1970-1979	0	0	20	10	21	0	51
	1980-1989	0	0	6	2	18	1	27
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	6	5	13
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>319</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Flaxville**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	24	16	4	0	<b>48</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>51</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Forsyth**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	18	120	211	111	3	0	466
	1960-1969	0	0	22	6	14	1	0	43
	1970-1979	0	0	77	16	82	0	0	175
	1980-1989	0	0	16	2	24	1	0	43
	1990-1999	0	0	0	5	23	1	0	29
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	4
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>764</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Fort Benton**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	67	195	128	12	0	0	408
	1960-1969	0	12	1	25	7	0	0	45
	1970-1979	1	27	2	37	6	0	0	73
	1980-1989	0	4	4	26	11	1	0	46
	1990-1999	0	1	1	11	28	3	1	45
	2000	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
	2001	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	2002	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
	2003	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>630</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Fort Peck**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	4	5	13	0	0	23
	1960-1969	0	1	1	78	0	0	80
	1970-1979	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	1980-1989	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1990-1999	0	0	0	4	2	0	6
	2000	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>118</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Froid**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	29	53	10	0	<b>94</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	0	1	0	<b>3</b>
	1970-1979	0	6	1	4	1	<b>12</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	1	<b>5</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	1	<b>4</b>
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>119</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Fromberg**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	49	57	5	0	113
	1960-1969	0	13	4	4	0	21
	1970-1979	0	18	12	16	1	47
	1980-1989	0	1	2	7	1	11
	1990-1999	0	0	1	8	4	13
	2000	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2001	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>209</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Geraldine**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	37	34	12	0	93
	1960-1969	0	0	1	2	1	2	6
	1970-1979	0	0	12	1	9	1	23
	1980-1989	0	0	0	2	7	1	10
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>139</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Glasgow**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	7	184	340	297	15	4	0	<b>848</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	4	2	147	10	3	1	<b>167</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	17	2	103	14	2	0	<b>138</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	0	36	1	0	0	<b>37</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	12	18	2	0	<b>32</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	<b>3</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,226</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Glendive**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	111	560	641	22	6	<b>1,350</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	18	7	84	4	0	<b>115</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	34	3	168	13	3	<b>221</b>
	1980-1989	1	0	3	1	55	12	2	<b>74</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	0	12	7	1	<b>21</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	<b>3</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>571</b>	<b>965</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,787</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Grass Range**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	7	23	11	1	0	43
	1960-1969	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	1970-1979	0	0	11	0	1	1	13
	1980-1989	0	0	1	1	4	0	6
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	2	6	10
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>77</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Great Falls**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	242	2,294	7,103	419	24	1	<b>10,089</b>
	1960-1969	0	175	39	2,042	185	11	0	<b>2,452</b>
	1970-1979	0	504	184	1,419	215	50	0	<b>2,372</b>
	1980-1989	0	89	53	444	240	52	3	<b>881</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	27	479	539	109	18	<b>1,172</b>
	2000	0	0	2	43	45	13	0	<b>103</b>
	2001	0	0	1	34	76	15	0	<b>126</b>
	2002	0	0	0	38	83	12	2	<b>135</b>
	2003	0	0	0	28	71	18	0	<b>117</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>1,010</b>	<b>2,600</b>	<b>11,630</b>	<b>1,873</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17,447</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hamilton**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	7	55	495	138	15	1	1	713
	1960-1969	0	0	2	26	11	0	0	0	39
	1970-1979	0	0	4	29	22	1	0	0	56
	1980-1989	0	0	0	7	21	7	0	0	35
	1990-1999	0	0	0	26	169	46	0	0	241
	2000	0	0	0	5	20	0	0	0	25
	2001	0	0	0	3	16	0	0	0	19
	2002	0	0	0	2	9	1	0	0	12
	2003	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,144</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hardin**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	4	52	284	200	5	546
	1960-1969	0	0	20	8	82	3	113
	1970-1979	0	1	92	21	171	14	299
	1980-1989	0	0	9	6	45	8	68
	1990-1999	0	0	0	14	19	14	47
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	2	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1,081</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Harlem**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	24	80	89	26	6	0	<b>225</b>
	1960-1969	0	10	1	1	0	0	<b>12</b>
	1970-1979	0	32	5	23	4	0	<b>64</b>
	1980-1989	0	4	1	11	2	1	<b>19</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	3	5	0	<b>10</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>330</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Harlowton**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	20	133	183	78	0	417
	1960-1969	0	0	3	1	10	0	14
	1970-1979	0	0	9	1	27	2	39
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	6	9	19
	1990-1999	0	0	0	5	5	6	16
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>512</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Havre**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	30	239	970	697	23	0	0	<b>1,962</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	51	5	149	6	0	0	<b>211</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	193	21	323	40	1	0	<b>578</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	25	10	95	45	4	0	<b>179</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	28	42	6	1	<b>78</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	0	<b>8</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	1	<b>9</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	<b>9</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>1,007</b>	<b>1,293</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3,034</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Helena**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	10	106	1,263	2,171	246	39	14	<b>3,850</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	42	21	651	79	5	1	<b>800</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	210	37	852	184	12	0	<b>1,295</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	21	3	365	258	58	0	<b>705</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	3	213	431	91	2	<b>741</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	19	36	7	1	<b>63</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	32	35	5	0	<b>72</b>
	2002	0	0	1	2	24	24	7	0	<b>58</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	27	8	0	0	<b>35</b>
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>1,329</b>	<b>4,354</b>	<b>1,303</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7,621</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hingham**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	6	48	49	33	0	137
	1960-1969	0	0	2	2	4	0	8
	1970-1979	0	0	15	2	18	1	36
	1980-1989	0	0	1	1	5	1	8
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>191</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hobson**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	18	32	14	1	0	66
	1960-1969	0	3	1	3	0	0	7
	1970-1979	0	7	2	5	2	0	16
	1980-1989	0	0	1	6	0	1	8
	1990-1999	0	0	0	4	3	3	10
	2000	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>113</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hot Springs**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	98	192	108	0	0	400
	1960-1969	0	2	20	6	8	0	36
	1970-1979	0	0	46	10	4	0	60
	1980-1989	0	2	10	2	6	0	20
	1990-1999	0	0	0	6	8	2	16
	2000	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2003	0	0	0	8	4	0	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>548</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Hysham**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	5	27	48	17	1	<b>98</b>
	1960-1969	0	4	2	2	0	<b>8</b>
	1970-1979	1	18	3	5	0	<b>27</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	1	9	0	<b>11</b>
	1990-1999	0	1	2	11	2	<b>16</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>161</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Ismay**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	2	5	1	0	<b>10</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Joliet**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	1	43	70	14	0	<b>129</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	6	5	8	0	<b>19</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	19	22	21	2	<b>64</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	4	0	4	0	<b>8</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	10	1	<b>12</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
Total		1	1	72	98	59	3	<b>234</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Jordan**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	16	65	29	6	0	0	118
	1960-1969	0	0	5	4	3	0	0	12
	1970-1979	0	0	19	5	15	3	0	42
	1980-1989	0	0	2	3	17	4	0	26
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	10
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>214</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Judith Gap**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	30	7	3	0	<b>46</b>
	1960-1969	0	11	0	1	0	<b>12</b>
	1970-1979	1	7	1	10	1	<b>20</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	1	1	0	<b>3</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	3	2	<b>7</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>90</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Kalispell**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	26	192	832	2,856	1,372	196	42	12	<b>5,528</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	78	164	314	32	14	0	<b>604</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	64	250	578	114	14	0	<b>1,020</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	6	84	264	70	66	6	<b>498</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	208	946	464	152	22	<b>1,792</b>
	2000	0	0	0	16	100	82	8	0	<b>206</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	124	112	16	2	<b>254</b>
	2002	0	0	0	2	256	96	2	0	<b>356</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	34	16	4	0	<b>54</b>
	2004	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	<b>6</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>26</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>3,580</b>	<b>3,990</b>	<b>1,186</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>10,318</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Kevin**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	25	33	7	<b>69</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	1	1	<b>4</b>
	1970-1979	0	8	0	3	<b>11</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>87</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Laurel**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	10	149	702	278	4	0	<b>1,145</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	18	27	71	0	0	<b>117</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	92	75	321	2	0	<b>490</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	15	61	114	5	0	<b>195</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	11	152	32	4	<b>199</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	28	3	0	<b>31</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	11	2	0	<b>13</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	<b>10</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>876</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2,203</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Lavina**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	2	22	36	3	0	64
	1960-1969	0	0	1	1	3	0	5
	1970-1979	0	0	2	0	4	2	8
	1980-1989	0	0	0	2	5	0	7
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>88</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Lewistown**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	31	173	702	778	78	3	0	1,767
	1960-1969	0	0	29	23	115	16	0	0	183
	1970-1979	0	0	103	4	98	27	1	0	233
	1980-1989	0	0	10	2	55	26	0	0	93
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	35	61	2	1	102
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	1	8
	2001	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	3	11
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2004	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>734</b>	<b>1,089</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2,403</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Libby**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	56	350	720	400	24	1,554
	1960-1969	0	2	12	42	100	2	158
	1970-1979	0	2	18	22	34	6	82
	1980-1989	0	0	4	4	16	0	24
	1990-1999	0	0	0	16	12	2	30
	2001	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
	2003	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1,864</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Lima**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	24	63	22	3	0	113
	1960-1969	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
	1970-1979	0	0	17	8	16	0	41
	1980-1989	0	0	3	0	1	2	6
	1990-1999	0	0	1	3	2	3	9
	2000	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>178</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Livingston**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	11	156	693	1,034	86	13	1	<b>2,000</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	26	9	37	7	4	0	<b>83</b>
	1970-1979	0	1	48	9	211	48	2	0	<b>319</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	4	3	17	20	2	1	<b>47</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	10	112	21	0	0	<b>145</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	12	3	0	0	<b>16</b>
	2001	0	0	1	2	10	3	1	0	<b>17</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	<b>7</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>727</b>	<b>1,440</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2,636</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Lodge Grass**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	4	15	53	19	0	92
	1960-1969	0	0	9	2	2	0	13
	1970-1979	0	0	16	0	11	1	28
	1980-1989	0	0	2	1	3	1	7
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	5	3	8
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>149</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Malta**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	14	176	199	88	1	<b>480</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	8	11	39	2	<b>60</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	30	25	114	4	<b>173</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	5	13	50	3	<b>71</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	26	8	<b>36</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	3	<b>3</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>825</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Manhattan**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	12	117	90	3	<b>222</b>
	1960-1969	4	1	19	0	<b>24</b>
	1970-1979	17	3	50	1	<b>71</b>
	1980-1989	4	0	37	2	<b>43</b>
	1990-1999	0	3	95	12	<b>110</b>
	2000	0	1	13	0	<b>14</b>
	2001	0	0	3	0	<b>3</b>
	2002	0	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
	2003	0	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>495</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Medicine Lake**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	13	54	40	9	0	<b>116</b>
	1960-1969	0	5	3	4	0	<b>12</b>
	1970-1979	0	8	0	4	0	<b>12</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	0	9	0	<b>10</b>
	1990-1999	0	1	0	1	2	<b>4</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>13</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>155</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Melstone**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	28	55	7	1	<b>93</b>
	1960-1969	0	10	3	2	0	<b>15</b>
	1970-1979	0	16	0	9	0	<b>25</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	3	11	1	<b>15</b>
	1990-1999	0	2	0	2	7	<b>11</b>
	2001	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
	2002	0	4	0	0	0	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>165</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Miles City**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	159	478	941	376	12	3	<b>1,973</b>
	1960-1969	1	5	45	74	99	15	1	<b>240</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	139	189	182	46	3	<b>559</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	14	17	41	4	1	<b>77</b>
	1990-1999	0	2	1	10	61	29	3	<b>106</b>
	2000	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	<b>7</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	<b>3</b>
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>677</b>	<b>1,236</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2,969</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Missoula**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	12	262	1,951	4,565	150	11	1	<b>6,954</b>
	1960-1969	1	1	284	100	1,359	147	11	1	<b>1,904</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	551	139	1,706	268	38	1	<b>2,703</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	72	20	603	173	62	0	<b>930</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	4	19	1,198	919	140	5	<b>2,285</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	75	87	14	0	<b>177</b>
	2001	0	0	0	3	82	96	23	0	<b>204</b>
	2002	0	0	0	7	79	37	17	6	<b>146</b>
	2003	0	0	0	2	135	6	24	1	<b>168</b>
	2004	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1,173</b>	<b>2,242</b>	<b>9,806</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15,475</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Moore**

		Quality & Workmanship				Total
		Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	21	24	10	0	55
	1960-1969	5	0	0	0	5
	1970-1979	6	1	1	0	8
	1980-1989	2	1	8	1	12
	1990-1999	0	0	8	4	12
	2000	0	0	1	1	2
	2001	0	0	0	1	1
	2002	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>96</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Nashua**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	5	29	71	24	0	130
	1960-1969	0	0	1	3	10	0	14
	1970-1979	0	0	11	0	19	0	30
	1980-1989	0	0	2	0	6	0	8
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	2001	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>191</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Neihart**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	10	23	20	5	0	0	59
	1960-1969	0	0	5	10	2	1	0	18
	1970-1979	0	0	7	12	4	2	0	25
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	9	0	0	13
	1990-1999	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	7
	2000	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>126</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Opheim**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	23	31	3	0	<b>60</b>
	1970-1979	0	1	0	2	0	<b>3</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	1	7	0	<b>8</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	1	2	2	<b>5</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>77</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Outlook**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	2	5	12	2	0	24
	1960-1969	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	1970-1979	0	0	4	0	1	0	5
	1980-1989	0	0	1	1	4	0	6
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Phillipsburg**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	7	20	210	42	6	0	0	286
	1960-1969	0	0	13	0	15	2	0	0	30
	1970-1979	0	0	18	1	36	5	0	0	60
	1980-1989	0	0	1	2	15	8	1	1	28
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	8	21	1	0	33
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	5
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>447</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Plains**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	20	180	238	42	8	<b>490</b>
	1960-1969	0	4	64	16	6	0	<b>90</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	154	22	16	2	<b>194</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	8	6	32	0	<b>46</b>
	1990-1999	0	2	6	4	44	18	<b>74</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	6	0	<b>6</b>
	2002	0	0	0	0	2	4	<b>6</b>
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	4	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>910</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Plentywood**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	20	159	218	104	3	0	<b>508</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	10	8	63	8	0	<b>89</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	25	11	68	9	0	<b>113</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	2	2	39	7	2	<b>52</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	10	8	0	<b>18</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	<b>3</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>786</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Plevna**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	32	12	0	0	<b>47</b>
	1960-1969	0	2	4	0	0	<b>6</b>
	1970-1979	0	6	2	0	0	<b>8</b>
	1980-1989	0	1	0	4	0	<b>5</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	1	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69</b>

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Polson**

		Quality & Workmanship									Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Superior	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	8	76	390	596	156	10	2	0	0	1,238
	1960-1969	0	0	34	78	128	2	0	0	0	242
	1970-1979	0	0	102	86	164	48	0	2	0	402
	1980-1989	0	0	8	96	118	18	2	0	0	242
	1990-1999	0	0	4	70	302	116	36	2	2	532
	2000	0	0	0	0	24	36	10	2	0	72
	2001	0	0	0	12	6	50	16	0	0	84
	2002	0	0	0	4	16	110	14	0	0	144
	2003	0	0	0	0	2	26	4	2	0	34
<b>Total</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>916</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2,990</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Poplar**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	64	92	31	5	0	193
	1960-1969	0	10	3	9	1	0	23
	1970-1979	0	26	1	6	0	0	33
	1980-1989	0	5	3	13	2	0	23
	1990-1999	0	0	0	5	1	2	8
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total		1	105	99	65	10	2	282

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Red Lodge**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	9	12	140	486	181	18	6	852
	1960-1969	0	0	8	9	17	1	0	35
	1970-1979	0	0	15	15	35	9	0	74
	1980-1989	0	0	3	4	28	18	0	53
	1990-1999	0	0	2	10	72	92	6	182
	2000	0	0	0	2	11	13	0	26
	2001	0	0	0	1	7	9	0	17
	2002	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	14
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,258</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Richey**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	24	71	17	0	<b>115</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	1	4	0	<b>6</b>
	1970-1979	0	5	1	6	0	<b>12</b>
	1980-1989	1	0	0	5	0	<b>6</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	2	<b>3</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>143</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Ronan**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	22	230	288	38	0	580
	1960-1969	2	2	22	50	50	4	130
	1970-1979	0	0	124	30	60	6	220
	1980-1989	0	0	12	20	38	2	72
	1990-1999	0	0	0	18	54	14	86
	2000	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	2	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1,102</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Roundup**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	19	159	321	155	6	661
	1960-1969	0	0	7	1	11	0	19
	1970-1979	0	0	51	7	35	1	94
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	35	1	40
	1990-1999	0	0	1	0	14	10	25
	2000	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>843</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Ryegate**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	2	30	46	6	0	85
	1960-1969	0	0	4	0	5	0	9
	1970-1979	0	1	8	2	12	4	27
	1980-1989	0	0	0	1	4	0	5
	1990-1999	0	1	0	1	4	0	6
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>133</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Saco**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	8	49	35	6	0	99
	1960-1969	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
	1970-1979	0	0	6	0	10	0	16
	1980-1989	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>125</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Scobey**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	23	152	157	42	2	0	378
	1960-1969	0	0	4	5	8	0	0	17
	1970-1979	0	0	8	14	36	8	0	66
	1980-1989	0	0	2	4	16	8	1	31
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	16	4	1	21
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>520</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Shelby**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	16	148	391	166	32	0	754
	1960-1969	0	0	26	6	16	7	0	55
	1970-1979	0	0	64	5	57	9	1	136
	1980-1989	0	0	11	1	28	5	0	45
	1990-1999	0	0	0	4	24	19	0	47
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
	2001	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,045</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Sheridan**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	42	88	44	2	0	182
	1960-1969	0	11	2	14	2	0	29
	1970-1979	0	34	3	9	1	1	48
	1980-1989	0	2	1	19	3	0	25
	1990-1999	0	0	1	28	7	0	36
	2000	0	0	0	4	1	0	5
	2001	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>328</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Sidney**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	12	208	577	193	6	0	996
	1960-1969	0	12	55	81	3	0	151
	1970-1979	0	47	79	165	6	0	297
	1980-1989	0	7	39	53	15	0	114
	1990-1999	0	0	4	40	20	4	68
	2000	0	0	0	2	2	0	4
	2001	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	2002	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
	2003	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>537</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1,635</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = St. Ignatius**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	8	120	154	8	0	<b>290</b>
	1960-1969	0	12	12	4	0	<b>28</b>
	1970-1979	0	28	14	20	2	<b>64</b>
	1980-1989	0	2	12	30	0	<b>44</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	2	28	10	<b>40</b>
	2000	0	0	2	2	0	<b>4</b>
	2001	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
	2002	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>474</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Stanford**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	8	49	88	40	2	<b>187</b>
	1960-1969	0	4	2	4	2	<b>12</b>
	1970-1979	0	7	3	8	3	<b>21</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	3	3	<b>6</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	4	<b>7</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>233</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Stevensville**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	44	153	25	4	1	231
	1960-1969	0	8	10	4	1	0	23
	1970-1979	0	10	62	60	1	0	133
	1980-1989	0	1	5	12	0	0	18
	1990-1999	0	0	12	85	1	1	99
	2000	0	0	1	11	0	0	12
	2001	0	0	3	8	0	0	11
	2002	0	0	1	4	0	0	5
	2003	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Total		4	63	247	212	7	2	535

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Sunburst**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	4	38	80	17	0	<b>139</b>
	1960-1969	0	5	1	2	0	<b>8</b>
	1970-1979	0	10	1	4	1	<b>16</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	1	4	2	<b>7</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	6	3	<b>9</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2001	0	0	0	4	1	<b>5</b>
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2003	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>187</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Superior**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	13	59	94	27	1	196
	1960-1969	0	1	15	6	6	0	28
	1970-1979	0	1	40	13	10	0	64
	1980-1989	1	0	3	4	11	0	19
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	11	9	20
	2000	0	0	1	0	0	5	6
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	1	7
	2003	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Total		3	15	118	117	75	18	346

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Terry**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	18	92	110	27	0	250
	1960-1969	0	0	10	5	5	0	20
	1970-1979	0	1	14	8	17	3	43
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	7	1	12
	1990-1999	0	0	0	2	3	7	12
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>338</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Thompson Falls**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	0	24	166	258	68	2	518
	1960-1969	2	4	78	28	32	2	146
	1970-1979	0	0	100	68	38	0	206
	1980-1989	0	0	14	4	40	2	60
	1990-1999	0	0	4	20	50	10	84
	2000	0	0	0	0	6	2	8
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Total		2	28	362	378	236	24	1,030

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Three Forks**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	0	3	35	155	119	0	0	312
	1960-1969	1	0	12	2	18	0	0	33
	1970-1979	0	0	34	18	54	2	0	108
	1980-1989	0	0	3	2	18	8	0	31
	1990-1999	0	0	0	10	68	64	0	142
	2000	0	0	0	1	12	4	0	17
	2001	0	0	0	1	7	1	1	10
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	8
	2003	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>667</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Townsend**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	7	48	185	73	7	<b>320</b>
	1960-1969	0	32	6	12	2	<b>52</b>
	1970-1979	0	60	83	34	6	<b>183</b>
	1980-1989	0	3	24	27	3	<b>57</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	6	58	20	<b>84</b>
	2000	0	0	1	5	1	<b>7</b>
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2002	0	0	0	4	0	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>708</b>



---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Troy**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	54	158	214	62	0	494
	1960-1969	0	2	38	4	6	0	50
	1970-1979	0	0	96	12	18	0	126
	1980-1989	0	4	8	12	40	6	70
	1990-1999	0	2	2	4	34	4	46
	2000	0	0	2	0	2	0	4
	2002	0	0	2	0	0	2	4
	2003	0	0	0	2	6	0	8
	2004	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>804</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Twin Bridges**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	33	70	28	1	0	142
	1960-1969	0	4	18	6	7	0	0	35
	1970-1979	1	0	30	7	16	2	0	56
	1980-1989	0	1	0	5	9	2	0	17
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	10	6	0	17
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>271</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Valier**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	2	34	97	42	1	178
	1960-1969	0	0	1	2	4	1	8
	1970-1979	0	0	10	2	10	5	27
	1980-1989	0	0	1	3	15	3	22
	1990-1999	0	0	1	0	7	11	19
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2003	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>258</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Virginia City**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	7	10	36	73	32	2	160
	1960-1969	0	0	7	7	2	0	16
	1970-1979	1	0	16	16	8	0	41
	1980-1989	0	1	2	7	5	1	16
	1990-1999	1	1	0	8	9	3	22
	2000	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
	2002	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	2003	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2004	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total		9	12	61	114	60	8	264

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Walkerville**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	70	204	18	1	<b>295</b>
	1970-1979	0	6	1	1	0	<b>8</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	2	5	0	<b>7</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	5	4	<b>9</b>
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
	2001	0	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>322</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = West Yellowstone**

		Quality & Workmanship								Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	0	5	47	59	10	0	0	0	121
	1960-1969	0	0	23	10	9	0	0	0	42
	1970-1979	0	0	61	2	43	1	0	0	107
	1980-1989	0	0	8	0	53	6	1	0	68
	1990-1999	1	0	1	4	75	18	5	2	106
	2000	0	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	9
	2001	0	0	1	0	6	2	0	0	9
	2002	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	9
	2003	0	0	0	0	10	1	1	0	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>483</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Westby**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	7	10	26	32	2	<b>77</b>
	1960-1969	0	0	1	0	1	<b>2</b>
	1970-1979	0	0	4	1	7	<b>12</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	0	6	<b>6</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>99</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = White Sulphur Springs**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	8	90	121	41	10	272
	1960-1969	0	0	22	10	15	2	49
	1970-1979	0	0	54	9	33	1	97
	1980-1989	0	0	3	1	16	1	21
	1990-1999	0	0	0	3	18	11	32
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	2001	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	2002	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>476</b>



**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

**Municipality = Whitefish**

		Quality & Workmanship									Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Superior	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	22	40	174	1,046	574	62	4	2	0	1,924
	1960-1969	0	0	82	62	78	22	10	2	0	256
	1970-1979	0	4	154	136	204	76	22	6	0	602
	1980-1989	0	0	8	52	144	218	66	10	0	498
	1990-1999	0	0	4	24	254	200	284	48	6	820
	2000	0	0	0	0	34	10	12	8	40	104
	2001	0	0	0	4	34	26	18	6	50	138
	2002	0	0	0	4	36	44	14	2	58	158
	2003	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>1,360</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>4,508</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Whitehall**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	2	27	72	119	26	1	247
	1960-1969	0	0	19	14	7	3	43
	1970-1979	0	0	42	19	25	1	87
	1980-1989	0	0	3	8	20	3	34
	1990-1999	0	0	0	6	11	13	30
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		2	27	136	166	89	23	443

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Wibaux**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	1	10	41	107	43	1	203
	1960-1969	0	0	7	2	4	1	14
	1970-1979	0	0	14	11	14	8	47
	1980-1989	0	0	1	1	24	4	30
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	3	8	11
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2001	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>308</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Winifred**

		Quality & Workmanship					Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	6	23	28	5	0	<b>62</b>
	1960-1969	0	1	0	2	0	<b>3</b>
	1970-1979	0	6	0	4	0	<b>10</b>
	1980-1989	0	0	0	3	2	<b>5</b>
	1990-1999	0	0	0	1	1	<b>2</b>
	2000	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
Total		6	30	28	15	4	<b>83</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Winnett**

		Quality & Workmanship						Total
		Cheap	Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	3	16	38	19	2	0	78
	1960-1969	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	1970-1979	0	0	10	0	12	2	24
	1980-1989	0	0	0	1	3	4	8
	1990-1999	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	2000	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
	2002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>121</b>

---

**TABLE 7**  
**MUNICIPALITY**  
**Quality and Workmanship by Construction Era**

---

**Municipality = Wolf Point**

		Quality & Workmanship							Total
		Poor	Low Cost	Fair	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Year Built	1959 and earlier	16	142	251	118	6	0	0	533
	1960-1969	0	18	22	44	2	1	0	87
	1970-1979	0	51	10	43	10	2	1	117
	1980-1989	0	3	11	28	8	0	0	50
	1990-1999	0	0	2	7	8	0	1	18
	2000	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
	2002	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>808</b>